


Medworth Energy from Waste Combined Heat and Power Facility



PINS ref. EN010110
Document Reference: Vol 9.4B
Revision: 4
Deadline: 7
August 2023



Statement of Common Ground between Medworth CHP Limited and Cambridgeshire County Council and Fenland District Council (DRAFT)

**We inspire
with energy.**

Revision History

Revision number	Date	Details
1.0	24 March 2023	Initial draft Statement of Common Ground (Volume 9.4) [REP1-038] was submitted at Deadline 1 of the Examination (10 March 2023)
2.0	28 April 2023	Updated to take account of Cambridgeshire County Council's (CCC) and Fenland District Council's (FDC) comments on Rev 0.0 received on 22 March 2023, Deadline 1 and 2 Examination documents, and any further discussions held with CCC and FDC. Draft shared for comment
2.0	19 May 2023	CCC Comments received. SOCG updated
2.0	09 June 2023	Updated following review meeting with Host Authorities.
2.1	14 June 2023	Updated following review meeting with Host Authorities.
3.0	20 June 2023	Updated to split NCC and BCKLWN in the tables, comments received from NCC and with the aim to identify all outstanding matters for Deadline 6, add comments/actions to "amber" and "red" matters.
3.1	07 July 2023	Updated with NCC and BCKLWN agreed positions.
3.2	17 July 2023	Updated comments/positions related to CCC and FDC
3.3	24 July 2023	Updated version issued to NCC and BCKLWN for final checks and to CCC/F
3.4	31 July 2023	Updated to reflect CCC and FDC only
4.0	04 August 2023	Draft SOCG submitted at Deadline 7

Signatories

Applicant	Applicant
Signed	Signed
On behalf of	On behalf of
Name	Name
Position	Position
Date	Date

Cambridgeshire County Council
Signed
On behalf of
Name
Position
Date

Fenland District Council
Signed
On behalf of
Name
Position
Date

Contents

1.	Introduction	8
1.1	Purpose of Statement of Common Ground	8
1.2	Approach to Statement of Common Ground	8
2.	The parties to the Statement of Common Ground	10
2.1	The Applicant and Parties to the Statement of Common Ground	10
2.2	Role of the Host Authorities	10
2.3	Consultation and Engagement	10
2.4	Summary of Current Position	10
2.5	Status of the Statement of Common Ground	11
3.	Overview of the Proposed Development	12
3.1	Introduction	12
3.2	Summary of agreement	13
4.	Draft DCO	15
4.1	Introduction	15
4.2	Summary of consultation and engagement	15
5.	Alternatives	17
5.1	Introduction	17
5.2	Remit of the Host Authorities	17
5.3	Summary of consultation and engagement	17
5.4	Summary of agreement	17
6.	Traffic and Transport	19
6.1	Introduction	19
6.2	Remit of the Host Authorities	19
6.3	Summary of consultation and engagement	19
6.4	Summary of agreement	19
7.	Noise and Vibration	24
7.1	Introduction	24
7.2	Remit of the Host Authorities	24
7.3	Summary of consultation and engagement	24

7.4	Summary of agreement	24
8.	Air Quality	27
8.1	Introduction	27
8.2	Remit of the Host Authorities	27
8.3	Summary of consultation and engagement	27
8.4	Summary of agreement	27
9.	Landscape and Visual	29
9.1	Introduction	29
9.2	Remit of the Host Authorities	29
9.3	Summary of consultation and engagement	29
9.4	Summary of agreement	29
10.	Historic Environment	35
10.1	Introduction	35
10.2	Remit of the Host Authorities	35
10.3	Summary of consultation and engagement	35
10.4	Summary of agreement	35
11.	Biodiversity	37
11.1	Introduction	37
11.2	Remit of the Host Authorities	37
11.3	Summary of consultation and engagement	37
11.4	Biodiversity assessment	37
11.5	Habitat Regulations Assessment	40
11.6	Biodiversity Net Gain	40
12.	Hydrology	42
12.1	Introduction	42
12.2	Remit of the Host Authorities	42
12.3	Summary of consultation and engagement	42
12.4	Summary of agreement	42
13.	Geology, Hydrogeology and Contaminated Land	45
13.1	Introduction	45
13.2	Remit of the Host Authorities	45
13.3	Summary of consultation and engagement	45

13.4	Summary of agreement	45
14.	Climate	47
14.1	Introduction	47
14.2	Remit of the Host Authorities	47
14.3	Summary of consultation and engagement	47
14.4	Summary of agreement	47
15.	Socio-Economics, Tourism, Recreation and Land Use	52
15.1	Introduction	52
15.2	Remit of the Host Authorities	52
15.3	Summary of consultation and engagement	52
15.4	Summary of agreement	52
16.	Health	55
16.1	Introduction	55
16.2	Remit of the Host Authorities	55
16.3	Summary of consultation and engagement	55
16.4	Summary of agreement	55
17.	Major Accidents and Disasters	58
17.1	Introduction	58
17.2	Remit of the Host Authorities	58
17.3	Summary of Consultation and engagement	58
17.4	Summary of agreement	58
18.	Cumulative Effects	61
18.1	Introduction	61
18.2	Remit of the Host Authorities	61
18.3	Summary of consultation and engagement	61
18.4	Summary of agreement	61
19.	Statement of Statutory Nuisance	63
19.1	Introduction	63
19.2	Remit of the Host Authorities	63
19.3	Summary of Consultation and engagement	63
19.4	Summary of agreement	63

20.	Planning Policy	66
20.1	Introduction	66
20.2	Summary of agreement	66
21.	Waste Matters	71
21.1	Introduction	71
21.2	Remit of the Host Authorities	71
21.3	Summary of consultation and engagement	71
21.4	Summary of agreement	71
22.	Decommissioning	75
22.1	Introduction	75
22.2	Remit of the Host Authorities	75
22.3	Summary of consultation and engagement	75
22.4	Summary of agreement	75
23.	Summary	77

Table 3.1: Agreement Log: General	14
Table 4.2: Agreement Log: Draft DCO	16
Table 5.1: Agreement Log: Alternatives	18
Table 6.3: Agreement Log: Traffic and transport	20
Table 7.3: Agreement Log: Noise and Vibration	25
Table 8.3: Agreement Log: Air Quality	28
Table 9.3: Agreement Log: Landscape and Visual Impact	30
Table 10.3: Agreement Log: Historic Environment	36
Table 11.3: Agreement Log: Biodiversity	38
Table 11.4: Agreement Log: Biodiversity Net Gain	41
Table 12.3: Agreement Log: Hydrology	43
Table 13.3: Agreement Log: Geology, hydrogeology and contaminated land	46
Table 14.3: Agreement Log: climate change	48
Table 15.3: Agreement Log: socio-economics, tourism, recreation and land use	53
Table 16.3: Agreement Log: Health	56
Table 17.3: Agreement Log: Major accidents and disasters	59
Table 18.1: Agreement Log: Cumulative effects	62
Table 19.1: Agreement Log: Statutory nuisances	64
Table 20.1: Agreement Log: Planning Statement	67
Table 21.1: Agreement Log: waste matters	72
Table 22.2: Agreement Log: Decommissioning	76

Appendix A	Correspondence Log: Traffic and Transport	78
Appendix B	Correspondence Log: Noise and Vibration	82
Appendix C	Correspondence Log: Air Quality	84
Appendix D	Correspondence Log: Landscape and Visual	86
Appendix E	Correspondence Log: Historic Environment	89
Appendix F	Correspondence Log: Biodiversity	90
Appendix G	Correspondence Log: Hydrology	92
Appendix H	Correspondence Log: Geology, Hydrogeology and Contaminated Land	94
Appendix I	Correspondence Log: Climate	95
Appendix J	Correspondence Log: Socio-Economic, Tourism, Recreation and land use	97
Appendix K	Correspondence Log: Health	98
Appendix L	Correspondence Log: Major Accidents and Disasters	100
Appendix M	Correspondence Log: Statutory Nuisance	101
Appendix N	Correspondence Log: Waste Matters	102

1. Introduction

1.1 Purpose of Statement of Common Ground

1.1.1 This Statement of Common Ground (SOCG) has been prepared between Medworth CHP Limited ('the Applicant') and the Host Authorities to set out the areas of agreement and/or disagreement between the parties in relation to the Proposed Development Consent Order (DCO) Application for the Medworth CHP EfW Facility. The Host Authorities included within this SOCG are:

- Cambridgeshire County Council (CCC); and
- Fenland District Council (FDC).

1.1.2 The SOCG considers technical matters only. It does not refer to the political position of each Host Authority. Within the SOCG, the Host Authorities have only commented on the development and/or impacts that would fall within their administrative boundary and for which they have technical competence to comment.

1.1.3 The preparation of SOCG is encouraged by PINS. Advice Note 11: *Working with public bodies in the infrastructure planning process* encourages a proactive approach to reaching agreement on the approach and the conclusions of the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA), and the approach to consents, licences and authorisations.

1.1.4 It is the intention that this document provides the Examining Authority (ExA) with a clear overview of the level of common ground between both parties.

1.1.5 This SOCG covers all of the relevant environmental assessment topics, planning policy (including waste need) and the Draft DCO as listed in paragraph 1.2.1 below.

1.1.6 The Host Authorities consider the following topics as the main issues for the Proposed Development and the focus of the Examination:

- Draft DCO;
- Environmental assessment, including air quality, landscape and visual impacts and climate;
- Planning policy compliance; and
- Waste Need.

1.2 Approach to Statement of Common Ground

1.2.1 The structure of this SOCG is as follows:

- Section 1: Introduction
- Section 2: The parties to the SOCG;
- Section 3: Overview of the Proposed Development;

- Section 4: Draft DCO;
- Section 5: Alternatives;
- Section 6: Traffic and Transport;
- Section 7: Noise and Vibration;
- Section 8: Air Quality;
- Section 9: Landscape and Visual;
- Section 10: Historic Environment;
- Section 11: Biodiversity;
- Section 13: Hydrology;
- Section 14: Geology, Hydrogeology and Contaminated Land;
- Section 15: Climate;
- Section 16: Socio-economics, Tourism, Recreation and Land Use;
- Section 17: Health;
- Section 18: Major Accidents and Disasters;
- Section 19: Cumulative Effects;
- Section 20: Statement of Statutory Nuisance;
- Section 21: Planning Policy;
- Section 22: Waste Need;
- Section 23: Decommissioning; and
- Section 24: Summary.

1.2.2

The Host Authorities and the Applicant agree that all other topics/documents submitted with the DCO Application do not need to be included within the SOCG as the content of these do not affect the functions of the Host Authorities, or there are no outstanding matters for discussion in relation to these.

2. The parties to the Statement of Common Ground

2.1 The Applicant and Parties to the Statement of Common Ground

2.1.1 The parties to this SOCG are:

- Medworth CHP Limited, the Applicant for the Proposed Development; and
- The Host Authorities:
 - Cambridgeshire County Council (CCC); and
 - Fenland District Council (FDC).

2.2 Role of the Host Authorities

2.2.1 The Proposed Development is located in the town of Wisbech within the administrative areas of CCC and FDC. The Grid Connection also extends into the administrative areas of NCC and the BCKLWN. FDC lies within CCC, and the BCKLWN lies within NCC. CCC and NCC are the relevant waste planning authorities for the purpose of this application.

2.2.2 These four authorities have been identified under Section 43 of the Planning Act 2008, as authorities within which the Proposed Development is situated.

2.3 Consultation and Engagement

2.3.1 The Applicant and Host Authorities (including Norfolk County Council (NCC) and Borough Council of King's Lynn and West Norfolk (BCKLWN) have met on a Monthly basis between February 2021 and August 2023. A summary of topic specific consultation and engagement with all Host Authorities is set out in each topic section of the SOCG. **Appendix A to N** provide summaries of consultation and engagement on topic specific matters.

2.4 Summary of Current Position

2.4.1 The Applicant and the Host Authorities of CCC and NCC have reached a position on most matters.

2.4.2 In order to easily identify whether a matter is 'agreed' or 'not agreed' at each stage, the agreement logs in the SOCG utilise Red Amber Green (RAG) ratings. The RAG within the 'position' column illustrates the following:

- **Red:** no agreement;
- **Amber:** agreement is yet to be reached, but discussions are ongoing;

- **Green:** agreement; and
- **White:** the statement is not relevant to the particular Host Authority.

2.4.3 The RAG rating is presented jointly for CCC/FDC..

2.5 Status of the Statement of Common Ground

2.5.1 This is the draft SOCG (Rev 3.4). The documents referred to in this version of the SOCG are those submitted with the DCO Application and are available on the Planning Inspectorate's website.

DRAFT

3. Overview of the Proposed Development

3.1 Introduction

3.1.1 The Proposed Development comprises the following key elements:

- The EfW CHP Facility Site;
- CHP Connection;
- Temporary Construction Compound (TCC);
- Access Improvements;
- Water Connections; and
- Grid Connection (underground cable and Walsoken Substation).

3.1.2 A summary description of each Proposed Development element is provided below. A more detailed description is provided in **Chapter 3: Description of the Proposed Development (Volume 6.2) [APP-030]** of the ES. A list of terms and abbreviations can be found in **Chapter: 1 Introduction, Appendix 1F Terms and Abbreviations (Volume 6.4) [APP-068]**.

- **EfW CHP Facility Site:** A site of approximately 5.3ha located south-west of Wisbech town centre, located within the administrative areas of Fenland District Council and Cambridgeshire County Council. The main buildings of the EfW CHP Facility would be located in the area to the north of the Hundred of Wisbech Internal Drainage Board (HWIDB) drain bisecting the site and would house many development elements including the tipping hall, waste bunkers, boiler house, turbine hall, air cooled condenser, air pollution control building, chimneys and administration building. The gatehouse, weighbridges, 132kV switching compound and laydown maintenance area would be located in the southern section of the EfW CHP Facility Site.
- **CHP Connection:** The EfW CHP Facility would be designed to allow the export of steam and electricity from the facility to surrounding business users via dedicated pipelines and private wire cables located along the disused March to Wisbech railway. The pipeline and cables would be located on a raised, steel structure.
- **TCC:** Located adjacent to the EfW CHP Facility Site, the compound would be used to support the construction of the Proposed Development. The compound would be in place for the duration of construction.
- **Access Improvements:** includes access improvements on New Bridge Lane (road widening and site access) and Algores Way (relocation of site access 20m to the south).
- **Water Connections:** A new water main connecting the EfW CHP Facility into the local network will run underground from the EfW CHP Facility Site along New Bridge Lane before crossing underneath the A47 (open cut trenching or horizontal directional drilling (HDD)) to join an existing Anglian Water main. An

additional foul sewer connection is required to an existing pumping station operated by Anglian Water located to the north-east of the Algores Way site entrance and into the EfW CHP Facility Site.

- Grid Connection: This comprises a 132kV electrical connection using underground cables. The Grid Connection route begins at the 132kV switching compound in the EfW CHP Facility Site and runs underneath New Bridge Lane, before heading north within the verge of the A47 to the Walsoken Substation on Broadend Road. From this point the cable would be connected underground to the Walsoken DNO Substation.

3.1.3 The Proposed Development would be constructed in a manner consistent with that described within **ES Chapter: 3 Description of the Proposed Development (Volume 6.2) [APP-030]**. In summary:

- Work would commence with the establishment of the TCC together with any pre-commencement surveys and works to demolish existing structures and clear the EfW CHP Facility Site. The mobilisation and site set-up phase will last approximately 3-months.
- Access Improvements on New Bridge Lane will commence and take place over a 6-month period.
- Civil works comprising earthworks, piling and later the creation of external hardstanding areas, concrete structures and steelwork framing and the installation of the Water Connections will take place over a 34-month period.
- Overlapping with the erection of the structures at the EfW CHP Facility Site, mechanical, electrical and plant installation would take place over a period of 24-months followed by a 9-month period of commissioning and testing.
- The construction of the CHP Connection and Grid Connection would follow a similar process of mobilisation, civils and commissioning.

3.1.4 Following the completion of commissioning and testing, the TCC site accessed from Algores Way would be restored to its former condition.

3.1.1 The Proposed Development is a Nationally Significant Infrastructure Project (NSIP) under Part 3, Section 14 of the Planning Act 2008 by virtue of the fact that the generating station is located in England and has a generating capacity of over 50MW (see section 15(2) of the 2008 Act). It, therefore, requires an application to be submitted to the Secretary of State for a Development Consent Order (DCO). The DCO application has been submitted by Medworth CHP Ltd (the Applicant); a wholly owned subsidiary of MVV Environment Ltd.

3.2 Summary of agreement

3.2.1 **Table 3.1** below sets out the matters agreed in relation to the overview of the Proposed Development.

Table 3.1: Agreement Log: General

ID	Statement on which agreement is sought	which	Commentary
			CCC & FDC
3.1.1	The summary of the Proposed Development provided in Section 3.2 above reflects the Host Authorities understanding of the Proposed Development.		Green Agreed.

DRAFT

4. Draft DCO

4.1 Introduction

4.1.1 A **draft DCO (Volume 3.1) [APP-013]** and accompanying **Explanatory Memorandum (Volume 3.2) [APP-014]** were submitted as part of the DCO Application. Updated during the Examination, the latest version of the **draft DCO** is **Revision 5.0 (Volume 3.1) [REP6-003]**.

4.2 Summary of consultation and engagement

4.2.1 No specific consultation or engagement on the **draft DCO (Volume 3.1)** was carried out in advance of the DCO submission. A summary of the consultation and engagement carried out following the submission of the DCO Application on 7 July 2022 is contained in the issues specific Tables in **Appendix A to N**.

DRAFT

Table 4.2: Agreement Log: Draft DCO

ID	Statement on which agreement is sought	Commentary	
		CCC & FDC	
4.2.1	The wording of the articles within the draft DCO is Revision 5.0 (Volume 3.1) REP6-003 is agreed.	Green	Agreed.
4.2.2	The wording of Schedule 2 (Requirements) within the draft DCO is Revision 5.0 (Volume 3.1) REP6-003 is agreed.	Green	Agreed.
4.2.3	<p>The procedure for the discharge of requirements set out in Schedule 12 of the draft DCO is Revision 5.0 (Volume 3.1) [REP6-003] is agreed.</p> <p>It is agreed that the relevant waste planning authorities (CCC and NCC) will take responsibility for discharging the DCO requirements.</p> <p>The district authorities (FDC and BCKLWN) would be consulted by CCC/NCC on any requirements relevant to their administrative area.</p>	Green	Agreed.
4.2.4	The wording of all other Schedules in the draft DCO is Revision 5.0 (Volume 3.1) REP6-003 which relate to the Host Authorities is agreed.	Green	Agreed.

5. Alternatives

5.1 Introduction

5.1.1 The assessment of alternatives is set out in **ES Chapter 2: Alternatives (Volume 6.2) [APP-029]**.

5.2 Remit of the Host Authorities

5.2.1 The assessment of alternatives is relevant to CCC and FDC.

5.3 Summary of consultation and engagement

5.3.1 No specific meetings have been held with the Host Authorities in relation to the assessment of alternatives. A summary of the comments received from the Host Authorities during the EIA scoping stage and in response to the PEIR are set out in **Table 2.1 – 2.3 of ES Chapter 2: Alternatives (Volume 6.2) [APP-029]**.

5.4 Summary of agreement

5.4.1 **Table 5.1** below sets out the matters agreed in relation to the assessment of alternatives.

5.4.2 Matters relating to waste need are set out in **Section 21** of the SOCG.

Table 5.1: Agreement Log: Alternatives

ID	Statement on which agreement is sought	Commentary
5.1.1	The assessment of alternatives set out in ES Chapter 2: Alternatives (Volume 6.2) [APP-029] accords with the requirements of the EIA Regulations, NPS EN-1 and draft EN-1, NPS EN-3 and draft NPS EN-3 and NPS EN-3 and Draft NPS EN-5.	<p style="text-align: center;">CCC & FDC</p> <p>Red</p> <p>No agreement on list of alternative sites: As host authorities the Councils defer to the Inspectors to assess if the application accords with the requirements of EIA Regulations, and the NPS listed in this item.</p> <p>As raised during ISH3 – The Council believes that it is important that the Applicant provides the reasons to explain why the other sites were not progressed and that this is documented and presented to the Examination, so that there is a clear justification for the selection of this site.</p> <p>The Councils are of the view that the Applicant’s Submission [REP5-037] does not contain a list of alternative sites considered. CCC’s position is that, at the very least, a short list of sites should be documented to demonstrate that there was consideration of alternative sites. All the sites listed in [REP5-037] are other EFW proposals, some of which have come to fruition. It does not reflect a list of viable alternatives, especially if land ownership is a key criterion for the development site.</p> <p>Applicant’s position: Submitted at Deadline 5, the Applicant refers the Council and ExA to the Applicant’s response to ISH3 Action Point 10: Position Statement on Alternatives (Volume 14.6) [REP5-037]. This does reference Rivenhall, Saddlebrow and the Peterborough Green Energy sites and explains that they were not taken forward as an alternative to Medworth because they were either unavailable, had been refused consent or lacked sufficient market potential for CHP. NPS EN-1 confirms at paragraph 4.4.1 that from a policy perspective that there is no general requirement to consider alternatives. The Applicant considers sufficient information to satisfy the Council’s concerns has been submitted into the Examination.</p>

6. Traffic and Transport

6.1 Introduction

6.1.1 The assessment of traffic and transport is set out in **ES Chapter 6: Traffic and Transport (Volume 6.2) [APP-033]**, together with supporting figures and appendices. This includes a **Transport Assessment (Volume 6.4 ES Chapter 6 Traffic and Transport Appendix 6B) [AS-029]**.

6.1.2 In addition, a series of management plans have been produced to demonstrate how traffic and traffic would be managed during the construction and operation of the Proposed Development including:

- **Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (Volume 6.4 ES Chapter 6 Traffic and Transport Appendix 6A) (Rev 5.0) (Volume 6.4) [REP5-012]**;
- **Outline Operational Travel Plan (Volume 6.4 ES Chapter 6 Traffic and Transport Appendix 6C) [APP-074]**; and
- **Outline Operational Traffic Management Plan (Volume 7.15) (Rev 3) [REP3-025]**.

6.2 Remit of the Host Authorities

6.2.1 The relevant highways authorities for the purpose of the DCO application and this SOCG is CCC.

6.2.2 FDC have agreed that CCC are leading on this topic on their behalf. Notwithstanding this, all Host Authorities have contributed to discussions in relation to traffic and transport and this has been fed in the DCO application and post submission actions.

6.3 Summary of consultation and engagement

6.3.1 A summary of the pre-application consultation with the Host Authorities in relation to traffic and transport is set out in **Table A2, Appendix A** below. This is also summarised in **ES Appendix 6D: Stakeholder Engagement and Consultation Comments on the Traffic and Transport Assessment [APP-075]**.

6.3.2 A summary of the traffic and transport consultation carried out following the submission of the DCO Application on 7 July 2022 is set out in **Table A2, Appendix A**.

6.4 Summary of agreement

6.4.1 **Table 6.3.** below sets out the matters agreed in relation to traffic and transport.

Table 6.3: Agreement Log: Traffic and transport

ID	Statement on which agreement is sought	Commentary
CCC & FDC		
6.3.1	<p><u>Assessment approach</u></p> <p>The assessment presented in ES Chapter 6: Traffic and Transport (Volume 6.2) [APP-033] including the data gathering methodology, baseline, scope of the assessment, embedded environmental measures and the assessment methodology set out is appropriate.</p>	<p>Green</p> <p>CCC & FDC: Agreed and comment.</p> <p>The Transport Assessment Team have agreed the approach in terms of the assessment and are now discussing the details of the appropriate mitigation, this being the (already proposed Change Application) enhancements to New Bridge Lane and the signalisation of the Cromwell Road/New Bridge Lane junction.</p>
6.3.2	<p><u>Assessment conclusion</u></p> <p>There will be no likely significant traffic and transport effects during the construction, operation or decommissioning of the Proposed Development taking account of the embedded mitigation measures (Section 6.9 of ES Chapter 6: Traffic and Transport (Volume 6.2) [APP-033]).</p>	<p>Green</p> <p>CCC & FDC: Agreed and comment.</p> <p>The Transport Assessment Team would have no concerns over the impact of the applicant's development subject to the (already proposed Change Application) enhancements to New Bridge Lane and also a positive conclusion to the ongoing review by CCC, of the information relating to the signalisation of the Cromwell Road / New Bridge Lane junction.</p>
6.3.3	<p><u>Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP)</u></p> <p>The Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (Rev 3) (Volume 6.4 ES Chapter 6 Traffic and Transport Appendix 6A) (Rev 5.0) (Volume 6.4) [REP5-012] secured in Requirement 11 of the draft DCO Revision 5.0 (Volume 3.1) [REP6-003] secures the necessary measures to ensure there are unlikely to be any</p>	<p>Green</p> <p>CCC & FDC: Agreed, subject to final review of the Applicant's Outline CTMP Rev 5 (Volume 6.4) submitted at Deadline 5.</p> <p>Outstanding clarifications:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Minor text updates to the Outline CTMP. • Temporary diversion routes • Highway condition surveys including <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Routes; ○ Frequency; and ○ adjoining publicly maintainable highway.

ID	Statement on which agreement is sought	Commentary	
CCC & FDC			
	<p>significant traffic and transport effects during the construction phase.</p> <p>The Outline CTMP Rev 5 (Volume 6.4) includes the necessary restrictions to control the routing of HGVs during the construction phase. No HGVs would be permitted through Wisbech Town Centre or Elm High Road.</p>		
6.3.4	<p><u>Outline Operational Traffic Management Plan</u></p> <p>The Operational Traffic Management Plan (Volume 7.15) (Rev 5.0) (Volume 6.4) [REP5-012] secured in Requirement 12 of the draft DCO Revision 5.0 (Volume 3.1) [REP6-003] secures the necessary measures to ensure there are unlikely to be any significant traffic and transport effects during the operational phase.</p>	Green	Agreed.
6.3.5	<p><u>Outline Operational Travel Plan</u></p> <p>The Outline Operational Travel Plan (Volume 6.4 ES Chapter 6 Traffic and Transport Appendix 6C) [APP-074] secured in Requirement 15 of the draft DCO Revision 5.0 (Volume 3.1) [REP6-003] secures the necessary measures to manage travel during the operational phase.</p>	Green	Agreed.

ID	Statement on which agreement is sought	Commentary
CCC & FDC		
6.3.6	<p><u>New Bridge Lane – Road Design</u></p> <p>The principle of accessing the EfW CHP Facility Site via New Bridge Lane and the associated widening and improvement works, including Change 1 (signalisation of the Cromwell Road/New Bridge Lane junction) and Change 2 (dropped kerbs on Salter Way/New Bridge Lane junction) are acceptable.</p> <p>The detailed design of the highways access will be agreed with CCC as part of Requirement 7 (Highway Access) of the draft DCO Revision 5.0 (Volume 3.1) [REP6-003]</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Amber</p> <p>Agreed, subject to the agreement of further detailed traffic signalling modelling of Change 1 to be secured at the detailed design stage.</p> <p>Discussions ongoing. The following are outstanding matters:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Further discussions on the extent of the Order limits and highway land to accommodate Change 1 and 2. • Note that Tesco hold no objections in principle to the Change Application, see [REPAS-035], however further discussions to review progress on the matter are required.
6.3.7	<p><u>Draft DCO</u></p> <p>The draft DCO Revision 5.0 (Volume 3.1) [REP6-003] contains the appropriate powers to deliver the highways works proposed as part of the DCO application and the terms of the S278 agreement are agreed.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Green</p> <p>Agreed, subject to finalising the detailed wording of the s278 agreement. The Applicant and CCC continue to work together with the aim of concluding this matter within the Examination.</p>
6.3.8	<p><u>March to Wisbech Railway reopening</u></p> <p>The Proposed Development will not compromise the requirements of CCC in respect to the reopening of the disused March to Wisbech Railway.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Amber</p> <p>CCC & FDC: Discussions ongoing.</p> <p>The principle that the Proposed Development will not compromise the reopening of the railway is agreed. However, CCC and FDC seek further reassurance in the necessary agreements that this is secured. The Applicant continues to work with Network Rail and CCC and FDC to address these points and aims to resolve these during the Examination.</p>

ID	Statement on which agreement is sought	Commentary	
		CCC & FDC	
6.3.9	<u>Public Rights of Way</u> The Access and Public Rights of Way Plan Revision 5.0 (Volume 2.4) [REP5-004] accurately reflects the extent of public rights of way and access likely to be affected by the Proposed Development.	Green	Agreed.

DRAFT

7. Noise and Vibration

7.1 Introduction

7.1.1 The assessment of noise and vibration is set out in **ES Chapter 7: Noise and Vibration (Volume 6.2) [APP-034]**, together with supporting figures and appendices.

7.1.2 An **Outline Construction Noise Management Plan** is provided as part of the **Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) (Rev 5.0) (Volume 7.12) [REP5-021]**. This is secured in Requirement 10 of the **draft DCO Revision 5.0 (Volume 3.1) [REP6-003]**.

7.1.3 An **Outline Operational Noise Management Plan (Volume 6.4 ES Chapter 7 Noise and Vibration Appendix 7D) (Rev 3) [REP4-005]** has also been produced to demonstrate how noise and vibration would be managed during the operation of the Proposed Development. This is secured in Requirement 19 of the **draft DCO Revision 5.0 (Volume 3.1) [REP6-003]**.

7.2 Remit of the Host Authorities

7.2.1 The Joint CCC/FDC relevant representation **[RR-002 and RR-003]** confirms that FDC are leading on this topic.

7.3 Summary of consultation and engagement

7.3.1 A summary of the pre-application consultation with the Host Authorities in relation to noise and vibration is set out in **Table B1, Appendix B**. This is also summarised in **Section 7.2 of ES Chapter 7: Noise and Vibration (Volume 6.2) [APP-034]**.

7.3.2 A summary of the noise and vibration consultation carried out following the submission of the DCO Application on 7 July 2022 is set out in **Table B2, Appendix B**.

7.4 Summary of agreement

7.4.1 **Table 7.3** below sets out the matters agreed in relation to the assessment of noise and vibration.

Table 7.3: Agreement Log: Noise and Vibration

ID	Statement on which agreement is sought	Commentary	
		CCC & FDC	
7.3.1	<p><u>Assessment approach</u></p> <p>The assessment presented in ES Chapter 7: Noise and Vibration (Volume 6.2) [APP-034] including the data gathering methodology, baseline, scope of the assessment and the assessment methodology set out is appropriate.</p>	Green	Agreed.
7.3.2	<p><u>Embedded Environmental Measures and Additional Mitigation</u></p> <p>The embedded environmental measures presented in Section 7.7 and additional mitigation set out in Section 7.10 of ES Chapter 7: Noise and Vibration (Volume 6.2) [APP-034] are appropriate.</p>	Green	Agreed.
7.3.3	<p><u>Assessment conclusion</u></p> <p>Subject to the implementation of agreed mitigation measures, there will be no likely significant noise and vibration effects during the construction, operation or decommissioning of the Proposed Development (Section 7.7 and 7.10 of ES Chapter 7: Noise and Vibration (Volume 6.2) [APP-034]).</p>	Green	Agreed.
7.3.4	<p><u>Outline Construction Noise Management Plan</u></p> <p>The Outline Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan provided within the Outline CEMP (Rev 5) [REP5-022] and secured in Requirement 10 of the draft DCO Revision 5.0 (Volume 3.1) [REP6-003] secures the necessary measures to ensure there are unlikely to be any significant noise and vibration effects during the construction phase.</p>	Green	Agreed.

ID	Statement on which agreement is sought	Commentary	
		CCC & FDC	
7.3.5	<p><u>Outline Operational Noise Management Plan</u></p> <p>The Outline Operational Noise Management Plan (Rev 3) [REP4-004] secured in Requirement 19 of the draft DCO Revision 5.0 (Volume 3.1) [REP6-003] secures the necessary measures to ensure there are unlikely to be any significant noise and vibration effects during the operational phase.</p>	Green	Agreed.

DRAFT

8. Air Quality

8.1 Introduction

8.1.1 The assessment of air quality is set out in **ES Chapter 8: Air Quality (Volume 6.2) [APP-035]**, together with supporting figures and appendices. This includes the **Air Quality Technical Report Rev 3 (Appendix 8B) (Volume 6.4) [REP2-007]**.

8.2 Remit of the Host Authorities

8.2.1 CCC and FDC have both engaged in consultation regarding air quality.

8.3 Summary of consultation and engagement

8.3.1 A summary of the pre-application consultation with the Host Authorities in relation to air quality is set out in **Table C1, Appendix C**. This is also summarised in **Appendix 8A: Stakeholder consultation comments on Air Quality (Volume 6.4) [APP-078]**.

8.3.2 A summary of the noise and vibration consultation carried out following the submission of the DCO Application on 7 July 2022 is set out in **Table C2, Appendix C**.

8.4 Summary of agreement

8.4.1 **Table 8.3** below sets out the matters agreed in relation to the assessment of air quality.

Table 8.3: Agreement Log: Air Quality

ID	Statement on which agreement is sought	Commentary	
		CCC & FDC	
8.3.1	<p><u>Assessment approach</u></p> <p>The assessment presented in ES Chapter 8: Air Quality (Volume 6.2) [APP-035] including the data gathering methodology, baseline, scope of the assessment, embedded environmental measures and the assessment methodology set out is appropriate.</p>	Green	Agreed.
8.3.2	<p><u>Environmental Assessment and conclusion</u></p> <p>There will be no likely significant effects on air quality (including odour) during the construction, operation or decommissioning of the Proposed Development taking account of the embedded mitigation measures (Section 8.9 and 8.10 of the ES (Volume 6.2) [APP-035]).</p>	Green	Agreed.
8.3.3	<p><u>Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan</u></p> <p>The content of the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (Rev 4) (Volume 7.12) [REP4-008], secured in Requirement 10 of the draft DCO Revision 5.0 (Volume 3.1) [REP6-003] is agreed.</p>	Green	Agreed.
8.3.4	<p><u>Outline Local Air Quality Monitoring Strategy</u></p> <p>The content of the Outline Local Air Quality Monitoring Strategy (Rev 3) (Volume 9.21) [REP4-016], secured in Requirement 27 of the draft DCO Revision 5.0 (Volume 3.1) [REP6-003] is agreed.</p>	Green	Agreed.
8.3.5	<p><u>Outline Odour Management Plan</u></p> <p>The content of the Outline Odour Management Plan (Rev 2) (Volume 7.11) [REP1-021], secured in Requirement 16 of the draft DCO Revision 5.0 (Volume 3.1) [REP6-003] is agreed.</p>	Green	Agreed.

9. Landscape and Visual

9.1 Introduction

9.1.1 The assessment landscape and visual effects is set out in **ES Chapter 9: Landscape and Visual (Volume 6.2) [APP-036]**, together with supporting figures and appendices.

9.1.2 An Outline **Landscape and Ecology Strategy (Rev 2) (Figure 3.14) (Volume 6.3) [REP2-026]** has been produced. This is secured in Requirement 4 of the **draft DCO Revision 5.0 (Volume 3.1) [REP6-003]**.

9.1.3 An **Outline Landscape and Ecology Management Plan (Rev 1)** has been produced (**Volume 7.7) [APP-098]**. This is secured in Requirement 5 of the **draft DCO Revision 5.0 (Volume 3.1) [REP6-003]**.

9.1.4 An **Outline Lighting Strategy (ES 4 ES Chapter 3 Description of the Proposed Development Appendix 3B (Volume 6.4) (Rev 3) [REP5-010]** has been produced to demonstrate how lighting associated with the Proposed Development would be designed to mitigate effects on nearby receptors, including local residents. This is secured in Requirement 18 of the **draft DCO Revision 5.0 (Volume 3.1) [REP6-003]**.

9.2 Remit of the Host Authorities

9.2.1 The Joint CCC/FDC relevant representation [**RR-002 and RR-003**] confirms Landscape Architects were appointed to provide specialist support on this topic.

9.3 Summary of consultation and engagement

9.3.1 A summary of the pre-application consultation with the Host Authorities in relation to landscape and visual is set out in **Table D1, Appendix D**. This is also summarised in **ES Chapter 9: Landscape and Visual Appendix 9A Consultation Response Summaries (Volume 6.4) [APP-079]**.

9.3.2 A summary of the landscape consultation carried out following the submission of the DCO Application on 7 July 2022 is set out in **Table D2, Appendix D**.

9.4 Summary of agreement

9.4.1 **Table 9.3** below sets out the matters agreed in relation to the landscape and visual impact assessment.

Table 9.3: Agreement Log: Landscape and Visual Impact

ID	Statement on which agreement is sought	Commentary	
		CCC & FDC	
9.3.1	The relevant legislation, planning policy and technical guidance was identified in Section 9.3 of the ES Chapter 9: Landscape and Visual (Volume 6.2) [APP-036] .	Green	Agreed. No comments have been raised in the relevant representation, WR or LIR to dispute this position.
9.3.2	The approach to data gathering set out in Section 9.4 of the ES Chapter 9: Landscape and Visual (Volume 6.2) [APP-036] , including the definition of the study area, and the approach surveys is reasonable to inform the assessment of likely significant effects.	Green	Agreed. No comments have been raised in the relevant representation, WR or LIR to dispute this position.
9.3.3	The current and future baseline set out in Section 9.5 of the ES Chapter 9: Landscape and Visual (Volume 6.2) [APP-036] is accurately described.	Green	Agreed. No comments have been raised in the relevant representation, WR or LIR to dispute this position.
9.3.4	The scope of the assessment set out in Section 9.6 of the ES Chapter 9: Landscape and Visual (Volume 6.2) [APP-036] is reasonable to inform the assessment of likely significant effects.	Green	Agreed. No comments have been raised in the relevant representation, WR or LIR to dispute this position.
9.3.5	The embedded environmental measures described in Section 9.7 of the ES Chapter 9: Landscape and Visual (Volume 6.2) [APP-036] are appropriate to manage the likely significant effects of the Proposed Development.	Red	Not agreed. Notwithstanding their view regarding the prominence of the EfW CHP Facility, CCC/FDC are content with the embedded environmental measures in relation to landscape. However, it is noted (as both the Applicant and the Council acknowledged at ISH6), that the mitigation being proposed cannot overcome the significant visual

ID	Statement on which agreement is sought	Commentary
	The methods of implementation set out in Section 9.11 of the ES Chapter 9: Landscape and Visual (Volume 6.2) [APP-036] are agreed.	<p style="text-align: center;">CCC & FDC</p> <p>effects arising from the proposed development - in so far as the adverse effects identified in the LVIA are the same at Operation Year 1 and Year 15.</p> <p>CCC/FDC remain concerned that the embedded environmental measures proposed in respect of the RVAT for 10 New Bridge Lane such as the acoustic fence would not be sufficient (as set out in the CCC/FDC LIR [REP1-074], paragraph 5.4.3). The Applicant responds to these points in their response to the CCC/FDC LIR [REP2-020]. Please also see the Councils' comment in 7.3.2.</p> <p>Applicant: All relevant and implementable mitigation measures have been embedded into the development proposals and are considered likely to be effective and deliverable. In accordance with Paragraph 5.9.8 of NPS EN-1 the key requirement is to minimise, not eliminate, harm by providing reasonable mitigation where possible. It is inevitable that some significant landscape and visual effects would remain given the scale of the Proposed Development which is determined by the functionality of the various components and is not unusual for an EfW power station. The likelihood of significant but localised landscape and visual effects does not render the Proposed Development unacceptable. Further details were explained at ISH6 under items 3a, 3c and 3d.</p> <p>A summary of the response to CCC/FDC concerns over the RVAT being breached at 10 New Bridge Lane is set out in the Applicants response at ID CC39 of Table 3.1 [REP3-042], where the Applicant summarises why the RVAT at the property would not be breached with reference to analysis in the field and cross section evidence.</p>
9.3.6	The assessment methodology set out in Section 9.8 of the ES Chapter 9: Landscape and Visual (Volume 6.2) [APP-036] is reasonable to inform the assessment of likely significant effects.	<p>Green</p> <p>Agreed. No comments have been raised in the relevant representation, WR or LIR to dispute this position.</p>
9.3.7	The assessment conclusions in relation to landscape and townscape character, set out in	<p>Red</p> <p>Not agreed.</p>

ID	Statement on which agreement is sought	Commentary
	Section 9.9 of the ES Chapter 9: Landscape and Visual (Volume 6.2) [APP-036] are agreed.	<p style="text-align: center;">CCC & FDC</p>
9.3.8	The assessment conclusions in relation to visual receptors, set out in Section 9.9 of the ES Chapter 9: Landscape and Visual (Volume 6.2) [APP-036] are agreed.	Red

CCC/FDC have outstanding concerns regarding the potential extent of the landscape and townscape effects resulting from the Proposed Development in their relevant representation [RR-002 and RR-003] and LIR (section 5.3 and 5.4) [REP1-074]. The Applicant responds to these points in their response to the **CCC/FDC LIR [REP2-020]**. The Councils expressed their concerns regarding the landscape and townscape harm at ISH6, and these concerns remain.

Applicant: The Applicant justified the assessment of townscape and landscape character effects in their response to the **CCC/FDC LIR [REP2-020]** and at ISH6.

Localised significant adverse effects on landscape character within the immediate setting of the Site, i.e., between the Proposed Development and the A47 were assessed in the ES, although CCC/FDC consider that significant effects on landscape character would extend 5km south of the Site. CCC/FDC agreed at ISH6 that at the scale of the landscape character areas, the Proposed Development would have no significant effects (Page 13 - Written Summary of the Applicant's Oral Submissions at ISH6 [REP6-024]). It was agreed that townscape character effects would be not significant (page 20 of CCC and FDC Response to ExA's 2nd Written Questions (ExQ2) [REP5-045]).

Not agreed.

CCC/FDC: The councils have outstanding concerns regarding the potential extent of the visual effects resulting from the Proposed Development in their relevant representation [RR-002 and RR-003] and LIR (section 5.4). The Applicant responds to these points in their response to the **CCC / FDC LIR [REP2-020]**. The Councils expressed their concerns regarding the visual harm at ISH6, and these concerns remain.

Applicant: The Council's response to the ISH5 Action Points [REP5-044], stated that the significant visual effects would be experienced by some residents of Wisbech St. Mary and Friday Bridge, assessed by the Applicant as not significant.

ID	Statement on which agreement is sought	Commentary
		<p style="text-align: center;">CCC & FDC</p> <p>The Applicant had included all visual receptors in the ES requested by the Council, as summarised in Table 9A2 of ES Appendix 9A: Consultation Response Summaries [APP-079]. CCC/FDC acknowledged at ISH6 that the locations of all viewpoints presented in the ES were agreed and that no further viewpoint visualisations are required.</p> <p>The additional receptors identified by CCC/FDC in June 2023, where significant visual effects were claimed, comprise road users east of Friday Bridge (Needham Bank, Bar Drove, Kirkham Lane and Gosmoor Lane) and users of public rights of way within 5km of the Site to the south (i.e., Byway 72/9 and footpaths 72/14 and 72/15).</p> <p>At ISH6 the Applicant explained why any restricted and/or oblique glimpses of the Proposed Development from these receptors could not legitimately be considered significant. (Pages 9 to 13 - Written Summary of the Applicant's Oral Submissions at ISH6 [REP6-024]).</p>
<p>9.3.9 The assessment conclusions in relation to residential visual amenity, set out in Section 9.9 of the ES Chapter 9: Landscape and Visual (Volume 6.2) [APP-036] are agreed, and the Residential Visual Amenity Threshold (RVAT) would not be breached.</p>	Red	<p>Not agreed.</p> <p>CCC/FDCs relevant representation [RR-002 and RR-003] and LIR [REP1-074] section 5.4.3 – 5.4.12 raised concerns that the RVAT could be breached for 10 New Bridge Lane.</p> <p>The Applicant's consultant prepared a clarification cross section (Volume 9.2 Part 9 Appendices) [REP1-036]) to demonstrate that the RVAT would not be breached and no change to the assessment was required. CCC/FDC do not agree with the Applicant's position. The Councils' concerns remain.</p> <p>Applicant: The Applicant responded to the points raised by CCC/FDC in its response to the CCC/FDC LIR [REP2-020]. A summary of the response to CCC/FDC concerns over the RVAT being breached at 10 New Bridge Lane is set out in the Applicant's response at ID CC39 of Table 3.1, [REP3-042], where the Applicant summarises why</p>

ID	Statement on which agreement is sought	Commentary
		CCC & FDC
9.3.10	<p><u>Outline Landscape and Ecology Strategy and Outline Landscape and Ecology Management Plan</u> The content of the Outline Landscape and Ecology Strategy (Rev 2) (Figure 3.14) (Volume 6.3) [REP2-026] and Outline Landscape and Ecology Management Plan (Rev 2) (Volume 7.7) [REP3-021] is agreed, and the final versions will be approved by CCC as secured in Requirements 4 and 5 of the draft DCO Revision 5.0 (Volume 3.1) [REP6-003].</p>	Green
the RVAT at the property would not be breached with reference to analysis in the field and cross-section evidence. See 9.3.5 above.		
Agreed.		
Confirmed in the LIR [REP1-074] .		

10. Historic Environment

10.1 Introduction

10.1.1 The assessment of the historic environment is set out in **ES Chapter 10: Historic Environment (Volume 6.2) [APP-037]**, together with supporting figures and appendices.

10.1.2 The **Outline CEMP (Rev 5.0) (Volume 7.12) [REP5-022]** includes provision for an archaeological Written Scheme of Investigation. The CEMP is secured in Requirement 10 of the **draft DCO Revision 5.0 (Volume 3.1) [REP6-003]**.

10.2 Remit of the Host Authorities

10.2.1 The Joint CCC/FDC relevant representation [**RR-002 and RR-003**] confirms that CCC hold a remit in relation to the consideration of the Proposed Development on the Historic Environment, including heritage assets and the Georgian townscape. The joint representation confirms that FDC's remit is limited to consideration of impacts on Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas.

10.3 Summary of consultation and engagement

10.3.1 A summary of the pre-application consultation with the Host Authorities in relation to the historic environment is set out in **Table E1, Appendix E**. This is also summarised in **Section 10.2 of ES Chapter 10: Historic Environment (Volume 6.4) [APP-037]**.

10.3.2 A summary of the historic environment consultation carried out following the submission of the DCO Application on 7 July 2022 is set out in **Table E2, Appendix E**.

10.4 Summary of agreement

10.4.1 **Table 10.3** below sets out the matters agreed in relation to the historic environment assessment.

Table 10.3: Agreement Log: Historic Environment

ID	Statement on which agreement is sought	Commentary	
		CCC & FDC	
10.3.1	<p><u>Assessment approach</u> The assessment presented in ES Chapter 10: Historic Environment (Volume 6.2) [APP-037] including the data gathering methodology, baseline, scope of the assessment, embedded environmental measures and the assessment methodology set out is appropriate.</p>	Green	Agreed.
10.3.2	<p><u>Assessment conclusion – heritage assets</u> There will be no likely significant effects on the setting of heritage assessment during the construction, operation or decommissioning of the Proposed Development taking account of the embedded mitigation measures (Section 10.9 of the ES Chapter 10: Historic Environment (Volume 6.2) [APP-037]).</p>	Green	Agreed.
10.3.3	<p><u>Assessment conclusion - archaeology</u> There will be no likely significant effects on archaeology during the construction, operation or decommissioning of the Proposed Development taking account of the embedded mitigation measures (Section 10.9 of the ES Chapter 10: Historic Environment (Volume 6.2) [APP-037]).</p>	Green	Agreed.
10.3.4	<p><u>Outline Written Scheme of Investigation</u> The content of the Outline Written Scheme of Investigation set out in the Outline CEMP (Rev 5.0) (Volume 7.12) [REP5-022] is agreed, and the final version will be approved by CCC as secured in Requirement 10 of the draft DCO Revision 5.0 (Volume 3.1) [REP6-003].</p>	Green	Agreed.

11. Biodiversity

11.1 Introduction

11.1.1 This section of the SOCG covers the following matters:

- Biodiversity assessment (**ES Chapter 11 Biodiversity (Volume 6.2) [AS-008]**) together with accompanying figures and appendices;
- Habitat Regulations Assessment (**Volume 5.3) [AS-007]**; and
- Biodiversity Net Gain (**ES Chapter 11 Biodiversity Appendix 11M Biodiversity Net Gain (Volume 6.4) Rev 4.0 [REP5-015]**) submitted at Deadline 5.

11.2 Remit of the Host Authorities

11.2.1 CCC have been responsible for providing responses regarding biodiversity on behalf of themselves and FDC.

11.3 Summary of consultation and engagement

11.3.1 A summary of the pre-application consultation with the Host Authorities in relation to biodiversity is set out in **Table F1, Appendix F**. This is also summarised in **ES Appendix 11A (Volume 6.4) [APP-081]**.

11.3.2 A summary of the biodiversity consultation carried out following the submission of the DCO Application on 7 July 2022 is set out in **Table F2, Appendix F**.

11.4 Biodiversity assessment

11.4.1 The biodiversity assessment is set out in **ES Chapter 11: Biodiversity (Volume 6.2) [AS-008]**, together with supporting figures and appendices.

Summary of agreement

11.4.2 **Table 11.3** below sets out the matters agreed in relation to the biodiversity assessment.

Table 11.3: Agreement Log: Biodiversity

ID	Statement on which agreement is sought	Commentary	
		CCC & FDC	
11.3.1	<p><u>Assessment approach</u> The assessment presented in ES Chapter 11: Biodiversity (Volume 6.2) [AS-008] including the data gathering methodology, baseline, scope of the assessment and the assessment methodology set out is appropriate.</p>	Green	Agreed.
11.3.2	<p><u>Embedded environmental measures.</u> The proposed embedded environmental measures set out in Section 11.7 of ES Chapter 11: Biodiversity (Volume 6.2) [AS-008] are appropriate.</p>	Green	Agreed.
11.3.2	<p><u>Assessment conclusion</u> There will be no likely significant effects on biodiversity during the construction, operation or decommissioning of the Proposed Development taking account of the embedded mitigation measures (Section 11.9 of the ES (Volume 6.2) [AS-008]).</p>	Green	Agreed.
11.3.3	<p><u>Management Plans – Outline CEMP</u> The biodiversity mitigation measures set out in the Outline CEMP (Rev 5.0) (Volume 7.12), including Appendix D (Outline Ecological Mitigation Strategy) [REP5-022] and secured in Requirement 10 of the draft DCO Revision 5.0 (Volume 3.1) [REP6-003] are acceptable.</p>	Green	Agreed.
11.3.4	<p><u>Management Plans - Outline Operational Lighting Strategy</u> The Outline Operational Lighting Strategy (Appendix 3B Outline Lighting Strategy (Rev 3.0) (Volume 6.4) [REP5-010] (secured in Requirement 18 of the draft DCO Revision 5.0 (Volume 3.1) [REP6-003]) is acceptable to manage potential impacts on water voles and bats.</p>	Green	Agreed.
11.3.5	<p><u>Management Plans - Outline Landscape and Ecology Strategy</u></p>	Green	Agreed.

<p>The Outline Landscape and Ecology Strategy (ES Figure 3.14) (Volume 6.3) [REP2-026] secured in Requirement 4 (Biodiversity and landscape mitigation) of the draft DCO Revision 5.0 (Volume 3.1) [REP6-003] is acceptable.</p>		
<p>11.3.6 <u>Management Plans - Outline Landscape and Ecology Plan</u> The Outline Landscape and Ecology Management Plan (Volume 7.7) [REP3-007] secured in Requirement 4 (Biodiversity and landscape mitigation) of the draft DCO Revision 5.0 (Volume 3.1) [REP6-003] is acceptable.</p>	Green	Agreed.

DRAFT

11.5 Habitat Regulations Assessment

- 11.5.1 It is agreed by the Host Authorities that the Secretary of State is the competent authority in respect to the Habitat Regulations Assessment (HRA) process. A **Habitat Regulations Assessment No Significant Effects Report [AS-007]** was submitted as part of the DCO Application
- 11.5.2 A summary of the consultation feedback from the Host Authorities in relation to the HRA is provided in **Table 3.1 of the HRA No Significant Effects Report (Volume 5.3) [AS-007]**. Comments were received from CCC and FDC in relation to the assessment and survey scope which were taken into account within the production of the final HRA No Significant Effects Report.
- 11.5.3 Paragraph 7.3.3 of the **CCC/FDC LIR [REP1-074]** confirms the Councils view that the Proposed Development would not affect the integrity of European sites.

11.6 Biodiversity Net Gain

- 11.6.1 The biodiversity net gain assessment is presented in **ES Chapter 11 Biodiversity Appendix 11M Biodiversity Net Gain (Volume 6.4) Rev 4.0 [REP5-015]**. An updated version has been submitted at Deadline 3 **[REP3-018]**.

Summary of agreement

- 11.6.2 **Table 11.4** below sets out the matters agreed in relation to biodiversity net gain.

Table 11.4: Agreement Log: Biodiversity Net Gain

ID	Statement on which agreement is sought	Commentary	
		CCC	FDC
11.4.1	The methods and application of the biodiversity metric set out in the ES Chapter 11 Biodiversity Appendix 11M Biodiversity Net Gain (Volume 6.4) Rev 4.0 [REP5-015] have been correctly applied.	Green	Agreed.
11.4.2	The Proposed Development would result in a net loss of biodiversity and therefore compensation is required.	Green	Agreed.
11.4.3	The delivery of off-site compensation is appropriate to provide biodiversity net gain, in addition to the onsite opportunities identified (as set out in ES Chapter 11 Biodiversity Appendix 11M Biodiversity Net Gain (Volume 6.4) Rev 4.0 [REP5-015]) and ExQ1 Appendices – 10.2C Biodiversity Net Gain – Next Steps (Volume 10.2) [REP2-027] .	Green	Agreed.
11.4.4	Submitted at Deadline 5, the wording of draft DCO Revision 5.0 Requirement 6 (Biodiversity Net Gain) (Volume 3.1) [REP6-003] securing the delivery of the strategy [REP3-018] which sets out a commitment to deliver 10% net gain is appropriate.	Green	Agreed.
11.4.5	<u>Outline BNG Strategy</u> Submitted at Deadline 5 the Outline BNG Strategy set out in Annex C of ES Chapter 11 Biodiversity Appendix 11M Biodiversity Net Gain (Volume 6.4) Rev 4.0 [REP5-015] is appropriate.	Green	Agreed.

12. Hydrology

12.1 Introduction

12.1.1 The hydrology assessment is set out in **ES Chapter 12: Hydrology (Volume 6.2) [APP-039]**, together with supporting figures and appendices. This includes a **Flood Risk Assessment** presented in **ES Chapter 12 Hydrology Appendix 12A (Volume 6.4) [APP-084]**.

12.1.2 A series of outline management plans have also been produced, and secured in the **draft DCO Revision 5.0 (Volume 3.1) [REP6-003]**:

- **ES Chapter 12 Hydrology Appendix 12F Outline Drainage Strategy (Rev 3.0) (Volume 6.4) [REP5-018]**; and
- **Outline Flood Emergency Management Plan (Rev 2.0) (Volume 7.9) [REP1-019]**.

12.2 Remit of the Host Authorities

12.2.1 All Host Authorities have been engaged in discussions regarding hydrology, including CCC in their role as lead local flood authorities.

12.3 Summary of consultation and engagement

12.3.1 A summary of the pre-application consultation with the Host Authorities in relation to hydrology is set out in **Table G1, Appendix G** below. This is also summarised in **ES Appendix 12B (Volume 6.4) [APP-085]**.

12.3.2 A summary of the biodiversity consultation carried out following the submission of the DCO Application on 7 July 2022 is set out in **Table G2, Appendix G**.

12.4 Summary of agreement

12.4.1 **Table 12.3** below sets out the matters agreed in relation to hydrology.

Table 12.3: Agreement Log: Hydrology

ID	Statement on which agreement is sought	Commentary	
		CCC & FDC	
12.3.1	<p><u>Assessment approach</u></p> <p>The assessment presented in ES Chapter 12: Hydrology (Volume 6.2) [APP-039] including the data gathering methodology, baseline, scope of the assessment, embedded environmental measures and the assessment methodology set out is appropriate.</p>	Green	Agreed.
12.3.2	<p><u>Environmental Assessment and conclusion</u></p> <p>There will be no likely significant effects on hydrology during the construction, operation or decommissioning of the Proposed Development taking account of the embedded mitigation measures (Section 12.9 of the ES (Volume 6.2) [APP-039]).</p>	Green	Agreed.
12.3.3	<p><u>Outline Drainage Strategy</u></p> <p>The content of the ES Chapter 12 Hydrology Appendix 12F Outline Drainage Strategy (Rev 3.0) (Volume 6.4) [REP5-018], secured in Requirement 8 of the draft DCO Revision 5.0 (Volume 3.1) [REP6-003] is agreed.</p>	Green	Agreed.
12.3.4	<p><u>Outline Flood Emergency Management Plan</u></p> <p>The content of the Outline Flood Emergency Management Plan (Rev 2.0) (Volume 7.9) [REP1-019], secured in Requirement 13 of the draft DCO Revision 5.0 (Volume 3.1) [REP6-003] is agreed.</p>	Green	Agreed.
12.3.5	<p><u>Outline Water Management Plan</u></p> <p>The content of the Outline Water Management Plan within Appendix B of the Outline CEMP (Rev 5.0) (Volume 7.12) [REP5-022], secured in Requirement 10 of the draft DCO Revision 5.0 (Volume 3.1) [REP6-003] is agreed.</p>	Green	Agreed.

ID	Statement on which agreement is sought	Commentary	
		CCC & FDC	
12.3.6	<p><u>Internal Drainage Boards (IDBs)</u></p> <p>The Proposed Development crosses a number of watercourses which fall under the jurisdiction of the King's Lynn IDB, and the Hundred of Wisbech IDB rather than the Lead Local Flood Authority. The Applicant is responsible for obtaining the necessary consents from the IDBs in addition to those required from the Lead Local Flood Authority.</p>	Green	Agreed.

DRAFT

13. Geology, Hydrogeology and Contaminated Land

13.1 Introduction

- 13.1.1 The assessment of geology, hydrogeology and contaminated land is set out in **ES Chapter 13: Geology, hydrogeology and contaminated land (Volume 6.2) [APP-040]**, together with supporting figures and appendices.

13.2 Remit of the Host Authorities

- 13.2.1 CCC and FDC have not requested any specific engagement in relation to geology, hydrogeology and contaminated land, or raised any points in their relevant representation.

13.3 Summary of consultation and engagement

- 13.3.1 A summary of the pre-application consultation with the Host Authorities in relation to geology, hydrogeology and contaminated land is set out in **Table H1, Appendix H** below. This is also summarised in **Section 13.2 of ES Chapter 13 Geology, hydrogeology and contaminated land (Volume 6.2) [APP-040]**.
- 13.3.2 A summary of consultation carried out following the submission of the DCO Application on 7 July 2022 is set out in **Table H2, Appendix H**.

13.4 Summary of agreement

- 13.4.1 **Table 13.3** below sets out the matters agreed in relation to geology, hydrogeology and contaminated land.

Table 13.3: Agreement Log: Geology, hydrogeology and contaminated land

ID	Statement on which agreement is sought	Commentary	
		CCC & FDC	
13.3.1	<p><u>Assessment approach</u></p> <p>The assessment presented in ES Chapter 13: Geology, hydrogeology and contaminated land (Volume 6.2) [APP-040] including the data gathering methodology, baseline, scope of the assessment, embedded environmental measures and the assessment methodology set out is appropriate.</p>	Green	Agreed.
13.3.2	<p><u>Assessment conclusion</u></p> <p>There will be no likely significant effects on geology, hydrogeology and land contamination during the construction, operation or decommissioning of the proposed development taking account of the embedded mitigation measures (Section 13.11 of the ES (Volume 6.2) [APP-040]).</p>	Green	Agreed.

14. Climate

14.1 Introduction

14.1.1 The climate change assessment is set out in **ES Chapter 14: Climate (Volume 6.2) [APP-041]**, together with supporting appendices. The assessment considers climate change in two ways:

- Greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions; and
- Climate change resilience (CCR).

14.1.2 Sensitivity analysis of the Applicant's assessment is reported in the **Applicant's Response to ISH4 Action Point 7 Technical Note – Climate Additional Sensitivity Assessment (Rev 1.0) (Volume 15.7) REP6-003**.

14.2 Remit of the Host Authorities

14.2.1 CCC have been engaged in discussions regarding this topic and had appointed a specialist consultant to support their submissions.

14.3 Summary of consultation and engagement

14.3.1 A summary of the pre-application consultation with the Host Authorities in relation to climate change is set out in **Table I1, Appendix I**. This is also summarised in **ES Appendix 14A (Volume 6.4) [APP-088]**.

14.3.2 A summary of consultation carried out following the submission of the DCO Application on 7 July 2022 is set out in **Table I2, Appendix I**.

14.4 Summary of agreement

14.4.1 **Table 14.3** below sets out the matters agreed in relation to climate change.

Table 14.3: Agreement Log: climate change

ID	Statement on which agreement is sought	Commentary
CCC & FDC		
14.3.1	<p><u>Assessment approach: Climate change resilience assessment</u></p> <p>The CCR assessment approach presented in ES Chapter 14: Climate (Volume 6.2) [APP-041] including the data gathering methodology, baseline, scope of the assessment, embedded environmental measures and the assessment methodology set out is appropriate.</p>	<p style="background-color: #90ee90; padding: 5px;">Green</p> <p>CCC & FDC: Agreed with comments:</p> <p>The majority of the CCR Assessment is reasonable to the Councils but would question whether current standards are sufficient to cope with climatic effects. This is important to consider as the entire CCR is predicated on the Embedded Measures being suitable and therefore the deeper analysis only looks at residual climate risk.</p>
14.3.2	<p><u>Assessment conclusion: Climate change resilience assessment</u></p> <p>There are no significant effects remaining following the assessment of climate change impacts on the construction, operational and decommissioning phases of the Proposed Development and its receptors. This is because all relevant and implementable environmental measures have been employed into all phases of the Proposed Development.</p>	<p style="background-color: #90ee90; padding: 5px;">Green</p> <p>CCC & FDC: Agreed with comments:</p> <p>See 14.3.1.</p>

<p>14.3.3 <u>Assessment approach: GHG emissions assessment</u></p> <p>The GHG assessment approach presented in ES Chapter 14: Climate (Volume 6.2) [APP-041] including the data gathering methodology, baseline, scope of the assessment, and the assessment methodology set out is appropriate.</p>	<p>Red</p>	<p>Green (tbc)</p>	<p>CCC and FDC provided some general comments in their relevant representation [RR-002 and RR-003] relating to the scope and methodology of the assessment. Discussions are ongoing on this matter.</p> <p>Construction: Discussions ongoing.</p> <p>CCC have recently been provided with spreadsheets from the Applicant and raised some questions about this in their response to ISH4.</p> <p>Operation: Not agreed</p> <p><u>Without development scenario:</u></p> <p>CCC & FDC position: As set out in paragraph 9.4.17 of the LIR [REP1-074], CCC and FDC dispute the assumption that in the 'without development' scenario, all the waste will definitely go to landfill for the entire 40 years of operation.</p> <p>Applicant position: The Applicant has provided a response to paragraph 9.4.17 of the CCC and FDC LIR [REP2-020] to justify why use of the without development scenario is appropriate based on the findings of the Waste Fuel Availability Assessment (Volume 7.3).</p> <p>In response to ISH 4 Action Point No.7 [EV-059], the Applicant has discussed further sensitivity analysis with Cambridgeshire County Council (CCC). Appropriate waste composition scenarios, Landfill Gas (LFG) capture rates (52% and 85%), adoption of carbon capture and storage (CCS), and scenarios considering the decarbonisation of the UK electricity grid have been agreed. The Applicant has provided reasoning to clarify which scenarios it considers to be most likely. This analysis is submitted at Deadline 6 (Volume 15.7) [REP6-030].</p> <p>The Applicant understands, whilst most aspects of the methodology and range of sensitivity scenarios are agreed, the Council's position remains that the future decarbonisation of the grid should be regarded as the 'core scenario', rather than only in the sensitivity analysis.</p> <p><u>Waste composition data:</u></p> <p>CCC & FDC position: As set out in paragraphs 9.4.4 – 9.4.12 of the LIR [REP1-074], CCC and FDC highlight the uncertainty in waste composition and the impact of this on the GHG assessment.</p>
--	------------	------------------------	---

			<p>Applicant position: The Applicant has provided a response to paragraphs 9.4.4 – 9.4.12 of the CCC / FDC LIR in [REP2-020] to confirm the waste composition assumptions used within the assessment and the sensitivity analysis completed, acknowledging the uncertainty on future waste composition. As discussed above, further sensitivity analysis is submitted at Deadline 6 (Volume 15.7).</p> <p><u>Avoided emissions:</u></p> <p>CCC & FDC position: As set out in paragraphs 9.4.13 – 9.4.16 of the LIR [REP1-074], CCC and FDC dispute the assessment of avoided emissions in Chapter 14 Climate Change (Volume 6.2) [APP-041] which did not consider the forecast gradual decarbonisation of the grid.</p> <p>Applicant position: The Applicant has provided a response to paragraphs 9.4.13 – 9.4.16 of the CCC/FDC LIR in [REP2-020] to confirm the assumptions used within the assessment and the additional sensitivity analysis completed considering the gradual decarbonisation of the grid. The sensitivity scenarios are submitted at Deadline 6 (Volume 15.7).</p>
<p>14.3.4 <u>Embedded environmental measures: GHG emissions</u></p> <p>The embedded environmental measures described in Section 14.7 of ES Chapter 14: Climate (Volume 6.2) [APP-041] are appropriate to manage the likely significant effects of the Proposed Development.</p> <p>The Proposed Development does not include for the construction and operation of carbon capture technology but is capable of carbon capture retrofit at a later date.</p>	Red	Green	<p>Construction: Agreed.</p> <p>CCC and FDC specified mitigations within their LIR [REP1-074] in relation to mitigations that should be applied during the construction phase (paragraph 9.3.4). Within the Applicant’s response to the LIR (Volume 10.3) [REP2-020], the Applicant signposted to where in ES Chapter 14 Climate (Volume 6.2) [APP-041] these measures had been taken into account and provided additional details.</p> <p>Operation: Not agreed.</p> <p>CCC and FDC consider that the Proposed Development should install and operate CCS from day one of operation (LIR paragraph 9.4.20 and 9.2.24 [REP1-074]). They consider that setting aside an area for future development of CCS is insufficient as it does not guarantee when or if CCS will become operational.</p> <p>Applicant’s position: The Applicant has set aside land within the Order Limits for a carbon capture and export readiness reserve space secured in Requirement 22 of the draft DCO Revision 5.0 (Volume 3.1) [REP6-003]</p>

		<p>In addition, Requirement 23 of draft DCO Revision 5.0 (Volume 3.1) [REP6-003] secures the production of a carbon capture readiness monitoring report which will set out how the undertaker is monitoring the ongoing feasibility of carbon capture and explore technology.</p> <p>It is not an NPS policy requirement for EfW facilities to include or safeguard for CCS infrastructure.</p>
<p>14.3.5 <u>Assessment conclusion: GHG emissions assessment</u></p> <p>The GHG emissions assessment conclusions are set out in Section 14.9 and 14.12 of ES Chapter 14: Climate (Volume 6.2) [APP-041] are agreed.</p>	<p>Red</p>	<p>Construction: Not agreed.</p> <p>Operation: Not agreed.</p> <p>As set out in paragraphs 9.4.22 – 9.4.23 of the LIR [REP1-074], CCC and FDC dispute the application of the IEMA guidance for evaluating significance and the conclusion of a beneficial significant effect. In addition, the areas of disagreement on the GHG emissions methodology outlined above in row 14.3.3 will mean that different conclusions are drawn.</p> <p>Applicant's position: The Applicant has provided a response to paragraphs 9.4.22 – 9.4.23 of the CCC and FDC LIR [REP2-020] to justify the approach to the application of the IEMA guidance in the assessment conclusions. Based on IEMA's core definition of beneficial significance and the assessment outcomes in ES Chapter 14 Climate Change (Volume 6.2) [APP-041], it is considered that compared to the without-project baseline, the EfW CHP Facility would have a beneficial significant effect.</p>

15. Socio-Economics, Tourism, Recreation and Land Use

15.1 Introduction

15.1.1 The assessment of socio-economics, tourism, recreation and land use is set out in **ES Chapter 15: Socio-economics, tourism, recreation and land use (Volume 6.2) [APP-042]**, together with supporting figures and appendices.

15.1.2 The assessment is supported by further strategies:

- **Outline Employment and Skills Strategy (Volume 7.8) [APP-099]**; and
- **Outline Community Benefits Strategy (Rev 2.0) (Volume 7.14) [REP7-???**].

15.2 Remit of the Host Authorities

15.2.1 CCC and FDC have both engaged in this topic.

15.3 Summary of consultation and engagement

15.3.1 A summary of the pre-application consultation with the Host Authorities in relation to socio-economics, tourism, recreation and land use is set out in **Table J1, Appendix J** below. This is also summarised in **Section 15.2 of ES Chapter 15: Socio-economics, tourism, recreation and land use (Volume 6.2) [APP-042]**.

15.3.2 A summary of consultation carried out following the submission of the DCO Application on 7 July 2022 is set out in **Table J2, Appendix J**.

15.4 Summary of agreement

15.4.1 **Table 15.3** below sets out the matters agreed in relation to socio-economics, tourism, recreation and land use.

Table 15.3: Agreement Log: socio-economics, tourism, recreation and land use

ID	Statement on which agreement is sought	Commentary
		CCC & FDC
15.3.1	<p><u>Assessment approach</u></p> <p>The assessment presented in ES Chapter 15: Socio-economics, tourism, recreation and land use (Volume 6.2) [APP-042] including the data gathering methodology, baseline, scope of the assessment, embedded environmental measures and the assessment methodology set out is appropriate.</p>	<p>Green Agreed.</p>
15.3.2	<p><u>Assessment conclusion</u></p> <p>There would be no significant adverse effects on socio-economics, tourism, recreation and land use. Significant beneficial effects would occur due to direct and indirect employment opportunities, and benefits to local suppliers, taking into account the implementation of the Outline Employment and Skills Strategy (Section 15.9 of the ES (Volume 6.2) [APP-042]).</p>	<p>Amber Final Position: In part agreed.</p> <p>CCC and FDC consider that there would be perceived economic harm due to, disruption to the local road network affecting existing businesses which would also discourage new businesses from the area. Also, in the opinion of CCC and FDC, the appearance of the building on the approach to Wisbech will impact on the perception of the town to tourists and visitors to the detriment of trade and vitality.</p> <p>CCC & FDC agree that beneficial effects would arise as a result of direct and indirect employment opportunities, and benefits to local suppliers (LIR paragraph 10.2.1 and 10.3.1 [REP1-074]), but they consider the scale of the potential benefits to be uncertain (paragraph 10.2.2 and 10.3.2).</p> <p>Applicant's Position:</p> <p>The Applicant has given full consideration to the potential for significant socio-economic effects. The assessment and the conclusions reached are set out within ES Chapter 15 Socio economics, Tourism, Recreation and Land Use (Volume 6.2) [APP-042]. The conclusions reached are that effects would not be significantly adverse, see Table 15.22, due the mitigations identified in Table</p>

		<p>15.23, including the Employment and Skills Strategy (secured by draft DCO Requirement 21 [REP6-004]). Furthermore, the Proposed Development will deliver positive, significant effects in relation to local employment and the local supply chain during the construction phase.</p> <p>At the request of CCC, FDC, for the benefit of the community, health and environment of Wisbech and its environs, the Applicant has agreed to a Section 106 and Section 111 agreement, the content of which the Applicant considers addresses some of the concerns raised, see the Section 106 Heads of Terms (Volume 15.8) [REP6-031] and for the Section 111 Agreement, Appendix B of the Outline Community benefits Strategy (Rev 2.0) (Volume 7.14) [REP6-016].</p>
<p>15.3.3 <u>Assessment conclusion</u></p> <p>The proposed reopening of the disused March to Wisbech Railway considered to provide future contributions to the Wisbech economy will not be affected by the Proposed Development.</p>	<p>Green</p>	<p>Agreed.</p>
<p>15.3.4 <u>Outline Employment and Skills Strategy</u></p> <p>The Outline Employment and Skills Strategy [APP-099] secured in Requirement 21 of the draft DCO Revision 5.0 (Volume 3.1) [REP6-003] includes the necessary measures to develop employment and skills in the local area as a result of the Proposed Development.</p>	<p>Green</p>	<p>Agreed.</p>
<p>15.3.5 <u>Legal Agreements:</u></p> <p>The Section 106 Heads of Terms (Volume 15.8) [REP6-031] and the heads of terms for the Medworth Community Interest Organisation (see Appendix B of the Outline Community benefits Strategy (Rev 2.0) (Volume 7.14) [REP6-016], to be secured by a Section 111 Agreement, are in principle agreed.</p>	<p>Green</p>	<p>Agreed.</p> <p>The Applicant and CCC are preparing the s106 and s111 agreements with the aim to submit these during the Examination.</p> <p>FDC have no view on these matters.</p>

16. Health

16.1 Introduction

16.1.1 The assessment of health is set out in **ES Chapter 16: Health (Volume 6.2) [APP-043]**, together with supporting appendices.

16.2 Remit of the Host Authorities

16.2.1 CCC and FDC are both engaged in this topic.

16.3 Summary of consultation and engagement

16.3.1 A summary of the pre-application consultation with the Host Authorities in relation to health is set out in **Table K1, Appendix K** below. This is also summarised in **Appendix 16A Summary of Consultation Responses [APP-089]**.

16.3.2 A summary of consultation carried out following the submission of the DCO Application on 7 July 2022 is set out in **Table K2, Appendix K**.

16.4 Summary of agreement

16.4.1 **Table 16.3** below sets out the matters agreed in relation to health.

Table 16.3: Agreement Log: Health

ID	Statement on which agreement is sought	Commentary	
		CCC & FDC	
16.3.1	<p><u>Assessment approach</u></p> <p>The assessment presented in ES Chapter 16: Health (Volume 6.2) [APP-043] including the data gathering methodology, baseline, scope of the assessment, embedded environmental measures and the assessment methodology set out is appropriate.</p>	Green	Agreed.
16.3.2	<p><u>Assessment conclusion</u></p> <p>The proposed development should not result in any significant adverse impacts on public health during construction, operation or decommissioning taking account of the embedded mitigation measures (Section 16.9 of the ES (Volume 6.2) [APP-043]).</p>	Green	Agreed.
16.3.3	<p><u>Outline Employment and Skills Strategy</u></p> <p>The Outline Employment and Skills Strategy (Volume 7.8) [APP-099] secured in Requirement 21 of the draft DCO Revision 5.0 (Volume 3.1) [REP6-003] includes the necessary measures to help support health impacts associated with employment in the local area.</p>	Green	Agreed.
16.3.4	<p><u>Outline Community Benefits Strategy</u></p> <p>The Outline Community Benefits Strategy (Volume 7.14) [APP-105] includes appropriate measures to support wellbeing in the local area.</p>	Green	Agreed.
16.3.5	<p><u>Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan</u></p> <p>The Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (Volume 6.4) [REP4-006] secured in Requirement 11 of the draft DCO Revision 5.0 (Volume 3.1) [REP6-003] includes appropriate measures to minimise the impacts of construction traffic on human health.</p>	Green	Agreed.

16.3.6 Community Liaison Manager

The provision of a Community Liaison Manager secured in Requirement 24_of the **draft DCO Revision 5.0 (Volume 3.1) [REP6-003]** will support the local community in the management of the construction and operation of the Proposed Development

Green

Agreed.

DRAFT

17. Major Accidents and Disasters

17.1 Introduction

17.1.1 The assessment of major accidents and disasters is set out in **ES Chapter 17: Major Accidents and Disasters (Volume 6.2) [APP-044]**.

17.2 Remit of the Host Authorities

17.2.1 CCC and FDC are both engaged in this topic.

17.3 Summary of Consultation and engagement

17.3.1 A summary of the pre-application consultation with the Host Authorities in relation to major accidents and disasters is set out in **Table L1, Appendix L**. This is also summarised in **Section 17.2 of ES Chapter 17: Major Accidents and Disasters (Volume 6.2) [APP-044]**.

17.3.2 A summary of the major accidents and disasters consultation carried out following the submission of the DCO Application on 7 July 2022 is set out in **Table L2, Appendix L**.

17.4 Summary of agreement

17.4.1 **Table 17.3** below sets out the matters agreed in relation to the assessment of major accidents and disasters.

Table 17.3: Agreement Log: Major accidents and disasters

ID	Statement on which agreement is sought	Commentary	
		CCC & FDC	
17.3.1	<p><u>Assessment approach</u></p> <p>The assessment presented in of ES Chapter 17: Major Accidents and Disasters (Volume 6.2) [APP-044] including the data gathering methodology, baseline, scope of the assessment, embedded environmental measures and the assessment methodology set out is appropriate.</p>	Green	Agreed.
17.3.2	<p><u>Assessment conclusion</u></p> <p>There will be no likely significant effects due to major accidents and disasters during the construction, operation or decommissioning of the proposed development taking account of the embedded mitigation measures (Section 17.9 of the ES Chapter 17: Major Accidents and Disasters (Volume 6.2) [APP-044]).</p>	Green	Agreed.
17.4.3	<p><u>Flood Emergency Management Plan</u></p> <p>The provision of a Flood Emergency Management Plan to manage any residual risk of tidal flooding is supported.</p> <p>The content of the Outline Flood Emergency Management Plan (Volume 7.9) [REP1-019], secured in Requirement 13 of the draft DCO Revision 5.0 (Volume 3.1) [REP6-003] is agreed.</p>	Green	Agreed.
17.4.4	<p><u>Fire Prevention Plan</u></p> <p>The provision of a Fire Prevention Plan to manage the risk of any fires at the EfW CHP Facility is supported.</p> <p>The content of the Outline Fire Prevention Plan (Rev 2.0) (Volume 7.10) [REP2-012], secured in Requirement 17 of the draft DCO Revision 5.0 (Volume 3.1) [REP6-003] is agreed.</p>	Green	Agreed.

ID	Statement on which agreement is sought	Commentary	
		CCC & FDC	
17.5.5	<p><u>Fire Risk Assessment</u></p> <p>Separately to the DCO process, there is a legal requirement to carry out a fire risk assessment in line with the requirements of the Regulatory Reform (Fire Safety) Order 2005. The final design of the Proposed Development will accord with the outcomes of the assessment, including for example, the need for any additional hydrant provision.</p>	Green	Agreed.

DRAFT

18. Cumulative Effects

18.1 Introduction

18.1.1 The cumulative effects assessment is set out in **ES Chapter 18: Cumulative Effects (Volume 6.2) [APP-045]**, together with supporting figures and appendices.

18.2 Remit of the Host Authorities

18.2.1 CCC have engaged in consultation regarding cumulative effects.

18.3 Summary of consultation and engagement

18.3.1 No specific meetings have been held with the Host Authorities in relation to the cumulative effects assessment. A summary of the comments received from the Host Authorities during the EIA scoping stage and in response to the PEIR are set out in **Table 18.1 and 18.2 of ES Chapter 18: Cumulative Effects (Volume 6.2) [APP-045]**.

18.4 Summary of agreement

18.4.1 **Table 18.1** below sets out the matters agreed in relation to the assessment of cumulative effects.

Table 18.1: Agreement Log: Cumulative effects

ID	Statement on which agreement is sought	Commentary	
		CCC & FDC	
18.1.1	The methodology adopted for the cumulative effects assessment set out ES Chapter 18: Cumulative Effects (Volume 6.2) [APP-045] is agreed.	Green	Agreed.
18.2.2	All relevant plans and projects have been identified for inclusion in the cumulative effects assessment (ES Chapter 18 Cumulative Effects Assessment Appendices, Volume 6.4, [APP-090]).	Green	Agreed.
18.3.3	No significant interrelated cumulative effects would occur as a result of the Proposed Development, taking account of the mitigation measures proposed within the topic-based assessments presented in Chapters 6-17 of the ES (Volume 6.2) (Section 18.7 of the out ES Chapter 18: Cumulative Effects (Volume 6.2) [APP-045]).	Green	Agreed.
18.4.4	No significant inter project effects would occur as a result of the Proposed Development (Section 18.8 of the out ES Chapter 18: Cumulative Effects (Volume 6.2) [APP-045]).	Green	Agreed.

19. Statement of Statutory Nuisance

19.1 Introduction

19.1.1 A **Statement of Statutory Nuisance (Volume 5.2) [APP-024]** was submitted with the DCO Application.

19.2 Remit of the Host Authorities

19.2.1 CCC/FDC have provided comments on statutory nuisances within their relevant representation [**RR-002** and **RR-003**].

19.3 Summary of Consultation and engagement

19.3.1 Consultation and engagement regarding statutory nuisances have been captured on an environmental topic basis and in **Table M1, Appendix M**.

19.4 Summary of agreement

19.4.1 **Table 19.1** below sets out the matters agreed in relation to statutory nuisances.

DRAFT

Table 19.1: Agreement Log: Statutory nuisances

ID	Statement on which agreement is sought	Commentary	
		CCC & FDC	
19.1.1	<p>Unless a Prior consent is agreed under the Control of Pollution Act then all nuisance specified under section 79(1) of the Environmental Protection Act apply. However, those nuisances likely to be potentially applicable to the Proposed Development are:</p> <p>b) smoke;</p> <p>c) fumes and gases;</p> <p>d) dust or other effluvia;</p> <p>f: b) artificial light;</p> <p>g) noise from premises; and;</p> <p>g: a) noise that is prejudicial to health or a nuisance and is emitted from or caused by a vehicle, machinery or equipment in a street.</p>	Green	Agreed.
19.1.2	<p>It is agreed that when taking account of the mitigation measures outlined in the Statement of Statutory Nuisance and set out in the Outline CEMP (Rev 5.0) (Volume 7.12) [REP5-022], the Outline Odour Management Plan (Volume 7.11) [REP1-022] and the Outline Lighting Strategy (Rev 3.0) (Volume 6.4) [REP5-010], there is not expected to be a breach of Section 79(1) of the Environmental Protection Act 1990.</p>	Green	<p>Agreed in principle.</p> <p>Comment: The Councils agree that there is not expected to be a breach of Section 79(1) of the Environmental Protection Act 1990, however, we are unable to determine if a nuisance exists using planning standards, as the test of a nuisance is under the Environmental Protection Act 1990 and is not defined in guidance used to determine planning. The information provided in the outline noise, dust and odour management plan is not sufficient to determine this at this stage, and therefore, we cannot confirm whether a nuisance would exist or not.</p>

ID	Statement on which agreement is sought	Commentary	
		CCC & FDC	
19.1.3	Should FDC receive any complaints regarding statutory nuisances, they would still have a duty to investigate and take enforcement action and consider taking enforcement action. The draft DCO Revision 5.0 (Volume 3.1) [REP6-003] would not preclude the ability for FDC to perform this function. Article 39 of the draft DCO provides a defence against any such enforcement action in certain circumstances.	Green	Agreed.

DRAFT

20. Planning Policy

20.1 Introduction

20.1.1 A **Planning Statement (Volume 7.1) [APP-091]** was submitted with the DCO Application and sets out the degree of compliance with relevant NPS policies and other pertinent legislation and policy, including local policy.

20.1.2 **Table 20.1** below sets out the matters agreed in relation to planning policy and takes account of CCC and FDC's LIRs submitted at Deadline 1.

20.1.3 Specific consideration of Waste Need is considered in **Section 21** of the SOCG.

20.2 Summary of agreement

20.2.1 **Table 20.1** below sets out the matters agreed in relation to planning policy.

DRAFT

Table 20.1: Agreement Log: Planning Statement

ID	Statement on which agreement is sought	Commentary	
		CCC & FDC	
20.1.1	<p>The relevant national policy statements for the Proposed Development are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Overarching National Policy Statement for Energy (EN-1); • National Policy Statement for Renewable Energy Infrastructure (EN-3); <p>National Policy Statement for Electricity Networks Infrastructure (EN-5).</p>	Green	Agreed.
20.1.2	<p>The revised Draft NPS published on 31 March 2023 which may also be important and relevant include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Revised draft Overarching National Policy Statement for Energy (EN-1); • Revised draft National Policy Statement for Renewable Energy Infrastructure (EN-3); and • Draft National Policy Statement for Electricity Networks Infrastructure (EN-5). 	Green	Agreed.
20.1.3	<p>The relevant adopted local policy comprises of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Minerals and Waste Local Plan 2036 (adopted July 2021); • Fenland Local Plan (adopted May 2014); 	Green	<p>Agreed, with consideration of the following comment:</p> <p>Under the heading of Net self-sufficiency in the Councils' Relevant Representation at paragraph 14.13, the effect of the proposal on the deliverability of waste management provision in other plan areas was raised, as was the adjoining Waste Local Plans and their policies which may have relevance. This</p>

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • [NCC] Norfolk Minerals and Waste Development Framework: Core Strategy and Minerals and Waste Development Management Policies Development Plan Document 2010 – 2026 (adopted 2011); • [NCC] Waste Site Specific Allocations Development Plan Document (adopted 2013); • [NCC] Minerals Site Specific Allocations Development Plan Document (adopted 2013) (and amendment adopted 2017) • [NCC] Revised PDF policies map and the revised interactive policies map; • [BCKLWN] Local Development Framework Core Strategy (adopted in 2011); and • [BCKLWN] Local Development Framework Site Allocations and Development Management Policies Plan (adopted 2016). 		<p>remains is an unresolved issue, and agreement here does not signify agreement on that topic.</p>
<p>20.1.4 The relevant emerging local policy comprises of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Emerging Fenland Local Plan (Consultation on the Draft version took place between 25 August 2022 and 19 October 2022). • Borough Council of King’s Lynn and West Norfolk Local Plan Review. Currently at Examination. Hearings to reconvene likely end of 2023. <p>Norfolk Minerals and Waste Local Plan: Pre-Submission Publication, 2022</p>	<p>Green</p>	<p>Agreed.</p>
<p>20.1.5 Other relevant local Policy, supplementary planning documents and other relevant strategies are identified in Section 5 of the Planning Statement (Volume 7.1) [APP-091] and within Section 1</p>	<p>Green</p>	<p>Agreed.</p>

	of the CCC / FDC LIR [REP1-074] and section 5 of the NCC/BCKLWN LIR [REP1-064] .		
20.1.6	Relevant topic specific plans and strategies are set out in the Policy and Legislation sections of the ES topic chapters (Volume 6.2), and within the LIR's [REP1-064] and [REP1-074] provided by the Host Authorities	Green	Agreed.
20.1.7	<u>Policy Compliance – NPS and revised draft NPS</u> The Proposed Development accords with the relevant adopted and revised draft NPS's as set out in the Planning Statement (Volume 7.1) [APP-091] and the Draft NPS tracker (Rev 2) (Volume 9.18) [REP3-031] .	Green	CCC & FNC: Agreed.
20.1.8	<u>Policy Compliance – Local Policy</u> The Proposed Development accords with the relevant adopted and emerging local policy as set out in the Planning Statement (Volume 7.1) [APP-091] and the Applicant's response to the CCC/FDC LIR [REP2-020] .	Amber	Discussions ongoing Waste policy compliance is considered separately in Table 21.1. With regard to FDC, there are no policies relating to the principle of development that are not met by the scheme. Please refer to the other sections of the SOCG where there are policy concerns at technical level in relation to the development e.g., landscape/townscape impact, impact on neighbouring businesses, impact on residential amenity. Applicant's comment: The Applicant's position as set within the Planning Statement (Volume 7.1) [APP-091] is that the Proposed Development is in accordance with the local plan when read in its entirety. In particular, it is compliant with Policy 3 Waste Management Needs and Policy 4 Providing for Waste Management of the Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Minerals and Waste Local Plan (see Table 21.3 below). With regard to the Fenland Local Plan the Proposed Development is compatible with Policy LP8 – Wisbech and the South Wisbech Broad Location for Growth. The assessments presented within

the Environmental Statement are considered within the Planning Statement which concludes that it would not result in unacceptable adverse environmental impact to the extent that it would be in conflict with the relevant local planning policies.

DRAFT

21. Waste Matters

21.1 Introduction

21.1.1 An updated **Waste Fuel Availability Assessment (WFAA) (Rev 3) (Volume 7.3) [REP5-020]** was submitted at Deadline 5.

21.2 Remit of the Host Authorities

21.2.1 CCC and NCC are the relevant waste planning authorities in respect to the DCO application. CCC has submitted representations jointly with FDC and whilst the Summary of Agreement set out in Table 3.47 refers to the position as regards both authorities, in practice FDC has deferred to CCC on waste matters.

21.3 Summary of consultation and engagement

21.3.1 A summary of the pre-application consultation with the Host Authorities in relation to waste is set out in **Table N1, Appendix N**. A summary of the waste consultation carried out following the submission of the DCO Application on 7 July 2022 is set out in **Table N2, Appendix N**.

21.4 Summary of agreement

21.4.1 **Table 21.1** below sets out the extent to which waste matters have been agreed with CCC/ FDC as joint respondents.

Table 21.1: Agreement Log: waste matters

ID	Statement on which agreement is sought	Commentary	
		CCC & FDC	
21.1.1	The Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Minerals and Waste Local Plan (MWLP) 2036 (adopted July 2021) is relevant to the Application.	Green	Agreed.
21.1.2	<u>Construction</u> The content of the Outline CEMP (Rev 5.0) (Volume 7.12) [REP5-022] , secured in Requirement 10 of the draft DCO Revision 5.0 (Volume 3.1) [REP6-003] is agreed in respect to measures to manage construction waste.	Green	Agreed.
21.1.3	<u>R1 Status</u> The Proposed Development is demonstrated to be an R1 class and is therefore considered a recovery facility.	Green	Agreed.
21.1.4	<u>Impact of the Proposed Development other Waste Planning Authority Areas.</u> The Proposed Development would not affect the deliverability of recovery capacity established in Waste Local Plans for areas outside of Cambridgeshire.	Amber	Subject to further discussions. Outstanding matters: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Waste Fuel Availability Assessment – CCC and the Applicant have met to discuss outstanding comments. Submitted at Deadline 5, the updated WFAA seeks to address CCC's concerns. CCC comment: See 20.1.3, the nature of the proposal means that no mitigation of this effect is possible. If the applicant agrees that this will be the effect of the facility,

		<p>then we can have agreement that this will be an effect of the facility, if they dispute that effect, it's a point of dispute.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Applicant comment: The Waste Fuel Availability Assessment incorporates a review of the capacity requirements set out in the Study Area's respective Waste Local Plans. This review has reported identified shortfalls in capacity of 1.3 million tonnes up to 2030, rising to 1.5 million tonnes up to 2035. Rather than prejudice the delivery of recovery capacity in areas outside of Cambridgeshire, the Proposed Development would contribute to meeting identified shortfalls in recovery capacity across the region. • The Applicant plans to arrange a further meeting to review the final position.
<p>21.1.5 <u>Waste hierarchy</u></p> <p>The WFAA (Rev 3.0) (Volume 7.3) [REP5-020] demonstrates that the Proposed Development is compliant with the waste hierarchy.</p>	Green	Agreed.
<p>21.1.6 <u>Spatial distribution of waste</u></p> <p>The WFAA (Rev 3.0) (Volume 7.3) [REP5-020] demonstrates that there are sufficient sources of waste within a 2-hour drive time of the Proposed Development.</p>	Green	Agreed.
<p>21.1.7 <u>Impact on other Recovery facilities</u></p> <p>The WFAA (Rev 3.0) (Volume 7.3) [REP5-020] demonstrates that the Proposed Development would not affect the viability and operation of other Recovery facilities.</p>	Green	<p>Agreed.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • WFAA - CCC and the Applicant have met to discuss outstanding comments. Submitted at Deadline 5, the updated WFAA seeks to address CCC's concerns. <p>The Applicant and CCC are confident these matters can be concluded within the Examination.</p>
<p>21.1.8 <u>Proximity Principle</u></p>	Green	Agreed.

DRAFT

22. Decommissioning

22.1 Introduction

22.1.1 The assessment of decommissioning is set out in the individual topic chapters of the ES (Volume 6.2). Section 3.11 of **ES Chapter 3 Description of the Proposed Development (Volume 6.2) [APP-030]** confirms that the environmental effects associated with the decommissioning phase would be of a similar level to those reported for the construction phase works, albeit with a lesser duration of one year.

22.2 Remit of the Host Authorities

22.2.1 Decommissioning is relevant to CCC and FDC.

22.3 Summary of consultation and engagement

22.3.1 No specific consultation and engagement has been carried out with the CCC and FDC in relation to decommissioning, but submissions to the DCO examination have raised matters relating to decommissioning, particularly within the LIRS [REP1-064 and REP1-074].

22.4 Summary of agreement

22.4.1 **Table 22.1** below sets out the matters agreed in relation to decommissioning.

Table 22.2: Agreement Log: Decommissioning

ID	Statement on which agreement is sought	Commentary	
		CCC & FDC	
22.2.1	Requirement 28 of the draft DCO Revision 5.0 (Volume 3.1) [REP6-003] provides an appropriate mechanism for agreeing how decommissioning of the Proposed Development will be carried out, including a timetable for the implementation of agreed measures.	Green	Agreed.
22.2.2	The content of the Outline Decommissioning Plan, (Volume 12.4) [REP4-024] , to be secured in Requirement 28 of the draft DCO Revision 5.0 (Volume 3.1) [REP6-003] is agreed.	Green	Agreed.
22.2.3	The assumption in the Environmental Statement relating to the significance of effects during decommissioning, as set out in paragraph 2.1.12 of the Non-Technical Summary (Volume 6.1) [APP-027] and paragraph 4.7.8 of Chapter 4 of the ES: Approach to the EIA (Volume 6.2) [APP-031] is reasonable and appropriate.	Green	Agreed.

23. Summary

- 23.1.1 This SOCG has outlined the consultation and engagement that has taken place between the Applicant and the Host Authorities of CCC and FDC during the pre-application and examination phases of the DCO process. The agreement presents the position reached by Deadline 7 (4 August 2023).

DRAFT

Appendix A Correspondence Log: Traffic and Transport

Table A1: Summary of pre-application submission consultation and engagement with the Host Authorities – traffic and transport

Date	Host Authority	Form consultation of	Statutory/Non-Statutory	Summary
13/01/2020	CCC FDC NCC	EIA Scoping Opinion	Statutory	EIA scoping opinion response on traffic and transport.
01/04/2020	CCC	MS Teams Meeting	Non-statutory	Introductory meeting. Discussion included the Transport Assessment, CHP Connection Design.
18/12/2020	CCC	Email - Scoping note	Non-statutory	Applicant issued Scoping Note, setting out how to Wisbech Railway Line would be considered.
21/01/2021	NCC	MS Teams Meeting	Non-statutory	Introductory meeting. Discussions included the and sensitive locations.
12/02/2021	CCC NCC	MS Teams Meeting	Non-statutory	Discussion regarding reopening of the disu. Discussion of access scenarios and base line c
25/03/2021	NCC	Email	Non-statutory	NCC provided a response to the Scoping Note
08/04/2021	CCC	MS Teams meeting	Non-statutory	Meeting to discuss opportunities for ducting un
06/05/2021	CCC	Email - Scoping Note	Non-statutory	CCC provided a response to the Applicant's S Comments related to HGV routing and traffic d
24/05/2021	CCC	MS Teams Meeting	Non-statutory	Meeting to discuss grid connection crossings o
08/2021	CCC FDC NCC BCKLWN	PEIR response	Statutory	PEIR response on traffic and transport.
03/01/2022	CCC	Email	Non-statutory	New Bridge Lane Access improvement design comment.
17/01/2022	CCC	Email	Non-statutory	The Applicant shared details of traffic generatio
18/03/2022	CCC	Email	Non-statutory	CCC provided a response to the Applicant's I issued on 03/01/2022.
25/01/2022	NCC	Email	Non-statutory	The Applicant provided an email update to NCC
27/01/2022	NCC	Email	Non-statutory	NCC responded to the Applicant's email of 25/

Table A2: Summary of post-application submission consultation and engagement with the Host Authorities – traffic and transport

Date	Host Authority	Form of consultation	Statutory/Non-Statutory	Summary
16/09/2022	CCC	Email	Non-statutory	CCC issued comments in response to Way Plans, and related highway
26/10/2022	CCC NCC BCKLWN	MS Teams meeting	Non-statutory	The Applicant presented a set of documents submitted with the application. Initial concerns and the need for further meetings was agreed.
01/11/2022	CCC	MS Teams meeting	Non-statutory	Meeting to discuss highway assets in the DC
09/11/2022	CCC	MS Teams meeting	Non-statutory	Meeting to discuss road safety
10/11/2022	CCC	MS Teams meeting	Non-statutory	Meeting to discuss the comments presented in the ES.
15/11/2022	CCC	Email	Non-statutory	Meeting minutes from 09/11/2022
24/11/2022	CCC	MS Teams meeting	Non-statutory	Further meeting to discuss comments presented in the ES.
10/01/2023	CCC	Email	Non-statutory	Updated Access Improvement comment.
01/12/2022	CCC FDC NCC BCKLWN	Relevant representation	Statutory	Relevant representations published. These included comments from
20/02/2023	CCC	MS Teams meeting	Non-statutory	Meeting to discuss highway
13/04/2023	CCC	MS Teams meeting	Non-statutory	Meeting to discuss the junction of New Bridge Lane and the Access Lane
18/04/2023	CCC	MS Teams meeting	Non-statutory	Meeting to discuss actions
18/04/2023	CCC	Email	Non-statutory	Meeting minutes issued.
27/04/2023	CCC	In-person meeting	Non-statutory	Meeting to discuss Application for New Bridge Lane Junction along New Bridge Lane.
01/06/2023	CCC	MS Teams meeting	Non-Statutory	Meeting to discuss the proposed improvements along New Bridge Lane/ New Bridge Lane junction. Information to CCC on 25/05/2023 regarding boundaries in relation to the Application.
18/03/23	CCC	Email	Non-statutory	Email from CCC setting out

Date	Host Authority	Form of consultation	Statutory/Non-Statutory	Summary
31/03/23	CCC	Email	Non-statutory	Email from CCC providing a
13/04/23	CCC	MS teams meeting	Non-statutory	Meeting to discuss CCC's matters, Minutes issued via
13/04/23	CCC	Email	Non-statutory	CCC email and attachments required for the pipebridge
17/04/23	CCC	Email	Non-statutory	Applicant email containing lane and swept path of NBL
20/04/23	CCC	Email	Non-statutory	Applicant email chasing Weasenham lane and for th
21/04/23	CCC	Email	Non-statutory	CCC agreement to the revisi from Weasenham Lane and
27/04/23	CCC	Email	Non-statutory	Applicant email containing meeting
09/05/23	CCC	Email	Non-statutory	Email from CCC confirmi Organisation for the RSA
12/5/23	CCC	Email	Non-statutory	Email from CCC confirming acceptable
25/5/23	CCC	Email	Non-statutory	Applicant email containing design and RSA
07/06/23	CCC	Email	Non-statutory	Information from CCC boundary at Weasenham L
07/06/23	CCC/FDC/NCC/??	MS teams meeting	Non-statutory	Meeting to discuss PRO improvements that could network
07/06/23			Non-statutory	Conditions surveys: Email from CCC providing point of contact to discuss h
12/06/2023	CCC	Email	Non-statutory	Conditions surveys: 1) Applicant email p Outline CTMP to a from CCC on the surveys. 2) CCC email includ updates to the Outl 3) Emails to organi 14/06/2023) to disc
13/06/23	CCC	Email	Non-statutory	CCC suggested wording for disused March to Wisbech
13/06/23	CCC/FDC/NCC/KLWN	Email	Non-statutory	Applicant email containing o

Date	Host Authority	Form of consultation	Statutory/Non-Statutory	Summary
14/06/23	CCC	MS teams Meeting	Non-statutory	Conditions surveys: Meeting to discuss amenity highway condition surveys.
14/06/23	CCC	Email	Non-statutory	Conditions surveys: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 4) Applicant email p Outline CTMP to a from CCC on the surveys. 5) CCC email confirm <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Asset Maintenance b. Highway Manager re 6) Applicant email cor to the Outline CTM
19/06/2023	CCC	Email	Non-statutory	Draft SOCG and Cromwell Following agreement on the to be submitted at Deadline confirm their timescale to (junction design, signalisation)
20/6/2023	CCC	Email	Non-statutory	Cromwell Road/New Bridge Applicant requesting a further feedback on the proposals and before ISH6
6/7/2023	CCC	MS teams meeting	Non-statutory	Cromwell Road/New Bridge Applicant met with CCC high information and RSA which Agreed that there was no te would not work but the Applicant model based on feedback informal conclusion on the
[TBC]				

Appendix B Correspondence Log: Noise and Vibration

Table B1: Summary of pre-application submission consultation and engagement with the Host Authorities – noise and vibration

Date	Host Authority	Form of consultation	Statutory/Non-Statutory	Summary
13/01/2022	CCC FDC	EIA Scoping opinion	Statutory	EIA scoping opinion response on Noise and Vibration.
18/08/2020	CCC NCC FDC BCKLWN	Email	Non-Statutory	Survey and Monitoring Plan (SMP) issued by the Applicant to the Host Authorities for comment.
13/10/2020	CCC NCC FDC BCKLWN	Email	Non-Statutory	Updated SMP to address queries and comments provided on the previous version.
12/02/2021	CCC NCC FDC BCKLWN	Email	Non-Statutory	Updated SMP to address queries and comments provided on the previous version.
08/2021	CCC FDC BCKLWN	PEIR response	Statutory	PEIR response on noise and vibration.
07/10/2021	CCC NCC FDC BCKLWN	Email	Non-Statutory	Updated SMP to address queries and comments provided on the previous version.
15/10/2021	FDC (on behalf of Host Authorities)	MS Teams Meeting	Non-Statutory	To discuss latest version of the SMP.
15/11/2021	CCC NCC FDC BCKLWN	Email	Non-Statutory	Host Authorities confirmed that an alternative monitoring location proposed by the Applicant was acceptable.
07/01/2022	CCC NCC FDC BCKLWN	Email	Non-Statutory	Agreement to scope out vehicle induced vibration. Vehicle induced vibration considered qualitatively at New Bridge Lane.
29/01/2022	FDC (on behalf of Host Authorities)	Email	Non-Statutory	Email exchange confirming further agreement on the scope of the noise and vibration assessment.

03/02/2022 14/02/2022	FDC behalf Host Authorities)	(on of	Email	Non-Statutory	FDC confirmed that as no HDD is proposed for the Grid Connection, an assessment of impacts is not required.
--	---------------------------------------	-----------	-------	---------------	---

Table B2: Summary of post-application submission consultation and engagement with the Host Authorities – noise and vibration

Date	Host Authority	Form of consultation	Statutory/Non-Statutory	Summary
03/10/2022	FDC	MS Teams meeting	Non-statutory	Meeting to discuss comments raised in the FDC draft relevant representation.
07/10/2022	FDC	MS Teams meeting	Non-statutory	Follow up meeting to continue discussion of matters raised in the meeting on 03/10/2022.
07/10/2022	FDC	Email	Non-statutory	Baseline noise monitoring report and other information issued to FDC following meeting.
14/10/2022	BCKLWN	MS Teams meeting	Non-statutory	Meeting to discuss noise assessment, including proposed mitigation measures.
15/10/2022	FDC	Email	Non-statutory	Meeting minutes from 03/10/2022 and 07/10/2022 were issued to FDC.
02/11/2022	BCKLWN	Email	Non-statutory	Meeting minutes from 14/10/2022 were issued to KLWN.
01/12/2022	CCC FDC NCC BCKLWN	Relevant representation	Statutory	Relevant representations from the Host Authorities were published. These included comments on the noise and vibration assessment.

Appendix C Correspondence Log: Air Quality

Table C1: Summary of pre-application submission consultation and engagement with the Host Authorities – air quality

Date	Host Authority	Form of consultation	Statutory/Non-Statutory	Summary
13/01/2020	CCC FDC	EIA Scoping Response	Statutory	EIA scoping response on air quality.
06/2020	CCC FDC	Email	Non-statutory	Applicant issued Methodology Statement to Host Authorities.
21/07/2020	CCC FDC	Email	Non-statutory	Comments on Methodology Statement provided by CCC and FDC.
02/10/2020	BCKLWN	Email	Non-statutory	Comments on Methodology Statement provided by BCKLWN.
08/2021	CCC FDC	PEIR response	Statutory	PEIR response on air quality.
13/01/2022	CCC	MS Teams meeting	Non-statutory	Discussion of CCC comments on the PEIR.

Table C2: Summary of post-application submission consultation and engagement with the Host Authorities – air quality

Date	Host Authority	Form of consultation	Statutory/Non-Statutory	Summary
19/10/2022	BCKLWN	Email	Non-statutory	BCKLWN issued questions to the Applicant on the air quality assessment in advance of the technical meeting on 31/10/2022.
31/10/2022	CCC FDC NCC BCKLWN	MS Teams meeting	Non-statutory	Meeting to discuss the points raised in CCC/FDC's draft relevant representation, including assessment anomalies, and assumptions.
09/11/2022	CCC FDC NCC BCKLWN	Email	Non-statutory	Meeting minutes from 31/10/2022 and a table of responses to comments received were issued to the Host Authorities.
11/11/2022	CCC	Email	Non-statutory	CCC provided comments on the draft meeting minutes from 31/10/2022.
15/11/2022	CCC FDC NCC BCKLWN	Email	Non-statutory	The meeting minutes from 31/10/2022 were reissued in response to feedback received from CCC on 11/11/2022.

DRAFT

Appendix D Correspondence Log: Landscape and Visual

Table D1: Summary of pre-application submission consultation and engagement with the Host Authorities – landscape and visual

Date	Host Authority	Form of consultation	Statutory/Non-Statutory	Summary
13/01/2020	CCC FDC NCC	EIA scoping response	Statutory	EIA scoping response on landscape and visual.
13/03/2020	CCC FDC ¹	Email – further comments on EIA Scoping Report.	Non-statutory	The Councils provided additional comments in relation to the study area, ZTV, viewpoints, photomontages and townscape effects.
13/08/2020	CCC FDC	Email - Technical Note	Non-statutory	Applicant responded to CCC/FDCs further comments on the EIA Scoping Report dated 13/03/2020.
24/09/2020	CCC FDC	Email – response to Applicant's technical note	Non-statutory	CCC/FDC responded with further comments on the study area, ZTV, viewpoints, blimp use, visual receptors, Residential Visual Amenity Assessment and visualisations.
02/11/2020	CCC FDC	MS teams meeting	Non-statutory	Meeting to discuss the CCC/FDC comments and the Applicant's responses.
11/11/2020	CCC FDC	Email - Technical Note	Non-statutory	The Applicant issued a further technical note to CCC/FDC summarising the outcomes of the 02/11/2022 meeting.
19/11/2020	CCC FDC	Email	Non-statutory	CCC sought confirmation regarding the methodology to be adopted for the visualisations due to be produced for the viewpoints.
01/12/2020	CCC FDC	Email	Non-statutory	Applicant responded to CCC's email of 19/11/2020

¹ CCC and FDC were represented by Liz Lake Associates from this correspondence onwards.

					to confirm the approach that would be adopted.
03/12/2020	CCC FDC	Email		Non-statutory	CCC confirmed their agreement with the Applicants approach (01/12/2020). Note – this was subsequently superseded by later agreements reached in February 2022.
08/2021	CCC FDC NCC	PEIR Response		Statutory	PEIR responses to the LVIA.
15/12/2021	CCC FDC	Email		Non-statutory	Applicant issued memo setting out updated development parameters, proposed visualisation types and ZTV methodology in advance of the meeting to be held January 2022.
11/01/2022	CCC FDC	MS Teams meeting		Non-statutory	Meeting to discuss visualisation types and ZTV methodologies.
08/02/2022	CCC FDC	Email response		Non-statutory	CCC/FDC responded to the memo/meeting, confirming acceptance of the proposed approach to ZTV modelling, the LVIA methodology and agreement in relation to visualisations.

Table D2: Summary of post-application submission consultation and engagement with the Host Authorities – landscape and visual

Date	Host Authority	Form of consultation	of	Statutory/Non-Statutory	Summary
21/10/2022	CCC NCC BCKLWN	MS teams meeting		Non-statutory	Discussion regarding the methodology adopted for the assessment, proposed mitigation and the assessment of effects.
02/11/2022	CCC NCC BCKLWN	Email		Non-statutory	Applicant issued meeting minutes and clarification note from 21/10/2022. Clarification ZTVs and cross sections were issued with assessment remaining unchanged.
01/12/2022	CCC FDC	Relevant representation		Statutory	Relevant representations from the Host Authorities

	NCC BCKLWN			were published. These included comments on the LVIA.
27/01/2023	CCC NCC BCKLWN	Email	Non-statutory	Applicant's consultant sent an email to the Host Authorities seeking clarification on whether they intended to provide information on which LVIA conclusions they do not agree with and why, as recorded as an action during the meeting on the 02/11/2022.

DRAFT

Appendix E Correspondence Log: Historic Environment

Table E1: Summary of pre-application submission consultation and engagement with the Host Authorities – historic environment

Date	Host Authority	Form of consultation	Statutory/Non-Statutory	Summary
13/01/2022	CCC FDC NDC	EIA Scoping Opinion	Statutory	EIA scoping response on the historic environment.
23/03/2021	CCC	MS Teams meeting	Non-statutory	Meeting to share borehole data and discuss need for fieldwork.
08/2021	CCC FDC BCKLWN	PEIR responses	Statutory	PEIR responses on Historic Environment.

Table E2: Summary of post-application submission consultation and engagement with the Host Authorities – historic environment

Date	Host Authority	Form of consultation	Statutory/Non-Statutory	Summary
19/10/2022	CCC NCC	MS teams meeting	Non-statutory	Discussion regarding potential archaeological impacts, mitigation and geoarchaeology. Post meeting Applicant issued project document links via email.
20/10/2022	CCC NCC	Email	Non-statutory	Meeting minutes from 19/10/2022 were issued.

Appendix F Correspondence Log: Biodiversity

Table F1: Summary of pre-application submission consultation and engagement with the Host Authorities – biodiversity

Date	Host Authority	Form of consultation	Statutory/Non-Statutory	Summary
13/01/2020	CCC FDC NCC BCKLWN	EIA Scoping opinion	Statutory	EIA scoping response on biodiversity.
12/03/2020	CCC NCC	MS Teams meeting	Non-statutory	Meeting to discuss proposed survey approach, including protected species, HRA and net gain.
23/04/2020	CCC NCC	Email	Non-statutory	Meeting minutes from 12/03/2020 were issued by the Applicant.
01/09/2020	NCC	Email	Non-statutory	Provided a response to the Winter Bird Survey 2020. Comments on weather conditions were set out.
11/09/2020	CCC	Email	Non-statutory	Provided a response to the Winter Bird Survey 2020. Comments on weather conditions and agricultural land usage were set out.
21/12/2020	CCC	Email	Non-statutory	Provided a response to the Breeding and Passage Bird Survey Report 2020. Comments on the assessment of collision risk were provided.
25/03/2021	CCC NCC FDC	MS Teams meeting	Non-statutory	Meeting to discuss the scope of the ecological surveys.
30/03/2021	NCC	Email	Non-statutory	Provided comments on the Preliminary Ecological Appraisal Report. Broadly agreed with the content and provided further comments on badgers and bats.
31/03/2021	CCC NCC FDC	Email	Non-statutory	Meeting minutes from 25/03/2021 were issued.
08/2021	CCC NCC FDC	PEIR responses	Statutory	PEIR responses on Biodiversity

Table F2: Summary of post-application submission consultation and engagement with the Host Authorities – biodiversity

Date	Host Authority	Form of consultation	Statutory/Non-Statutory	Summary
16/11/2022	CCC NCC FDC	MS teams meeting	Non-statutory	Discussion regarding biodiversity net gain, protected species, and the Outline Ecology and Landscape Plan. Post meeting Applicant issued project document links via email.
02/12/2022	CCC NCC	Email	Non-statutory	Meeting minutes from 16/11/2022 were issued.
31/03/2023	CCC FDC NCC BCKLWN	MS teams meeting	Non-statutory	Meeting to discuss biodiversity net gain
24/04/2023	CCC FDC NCC BCKLWN	Email	Non-statutory	Meeting minutes from 31/03/2023 were issued.

DRAFT

Appendix G Correspondence Log: Hydrology

Table G1: Summary of pre-application submission consultation and engagement with the Host Authorities – hydrology

Date	Host Authority	Form of consultation	Statutory/Non-Statutory	Summary
13/01/2020	CCC NCC BCKLWN	EIA Scoping Opinion	Statutory	EIA scoping response on hydrology.
11/01/2021	NCC	Email	Non-statutory	Provided comments on flood risk and works affecting ordinary watercourses.
15/01/2021	CCC	Email	Non-statutory	Provided information on flooding in the area.
19/04/2021	CCC	MS Teams meeting	Non-statutory	Meeting to discuss the draft surface water drainage strategy. Include discussions on discharge rates and climate change allowance.
08/2021	NCC	PEIR responses	Statutory	PEIR responses on Hydrology
26/10/2021	CCC	MS Teams meeting	Non-statutory	Meeting to discuss flood risk and surface water drainage strategy.
01/03/2022	NCC	MS Teams meeting	Non-statutory	Meeting to discuss surface water management plan, SuDS strategy and run off management for Walsoken substation.

Table G2: Summary of post-application submission consultation and engagement with the Host Authorities – hydrology

Date	Host Authority	Form of consultation	Statutory/Non-Statutory	Summary
24/10/2022	CCC	MS teams meeting	Non-statutory	Discussion regarding surface water discharge, water reuse, climate change allowances, pumping, calculations and other matters
10/11/2022	NCC	MS teams meeting	Non-statutory	Discussion regarding surface water drainage, watercourse crossings and hydrology at the Walsoken substation.

22/11/2022	CCC	Email	Non-statutory	Meeting minutes from 24/10/2022 were issued to CCC.
24/11/2022	NCC	Email	Non-statutory	Meeting minutes from 10/11/2022 were issued to NCC.
02/12/2022	NCC	Email	Non-statutory	Email from NCC querying the extent of the Hundred of Wisbech Internal Drainage Board (IDB) drains. To be followed up.
02/12/2022	CCC	Email/technical note	Non-statutory	Technical note issued addressing comments raised by CCC in the meeting of 24/10/2022 and the CCC/FDC relevant representation.

DRAFT

Appendix H Correspondence Log: Geology, Hydrogeology and Contaminated Land

Table H1: Summary of pre-application submission consultation and engagement with the Host Authorities – geology, hydrogeology and contaminated land

Date	Host Authority	Form of consultation	Statutory/Non-Statutory	Summary
13/01/2020	CCC FDC	EIA Scoping Opinion	Statutory	EIA scoping response on geology, hydrogeology and contaminated land.
08/2021	CCC BCKLWN	PEIR responses	Statutory	PEIR responses on geology, hydrogeology and contaminated land.

Table H2: Summary of post-application submission consultation and engagement with the Host Authorities – geology, hydrogeology and contaminated land

Date	Host Authority	Form of consultation	Statutory/Non-Statutory	Summary
01/12/2022	NCC BCKLWN	Relevant representation	Statutory	Relevant representations from the Host Authorities were published. BCKLWN provided comments on the assessment of geology, hydrogeology and contaminated land.

Appendix I Correspondence Log: Climate

Table I1: Summary of pre-application submission consultation and engagement with the Host Authorities – climate

Date	Host Authority	Form of consultation	Statutory/Non-Statutory	Summary
13/01/2020	BCKLWN CCC FDC	EIA Scoping Opinion	Statutory	EIA scoping response on climate.
11/12/2020	CCC	MS Teams meeting	Non-statutory	Meeting to discuss proposed GHG emissions assessment.
01/2021	CCC FDC NCC BCKLWN	Email	Non-statutory	GHG Emissions Methodology issued.
04/02/2021	BCKLWN CCC	Email	Non-statutory	Comments on GHG Emissions Methodology provided.
08/2021	BCKLWN CCC FDC	PEIR responses	Statutory	PEIR responses on climate.

Table I2: Summary of post-application submission consultation and engagement with the Host Authorities – climate

Date	Host Authority	Form of consultation	Statutory/Non-Statutory	Summary
20/10/2022	CCC BCKLWN	MS Teams meeting	Non-statutory	Meeting to discuss CCC's draft relevant representation including waste assumptions, avoided emissions, mitigation, guidance and the assessment of effects.
28/10/2022	CCC BCKLWN	Email	Non-statutory	Meeting minutes from 20/10/2022 were issued.
09/11/2022	CCC BCKLWN	Email - Technical Note	Non-statutory	Provided a response to CCC's draft relevant representation comments discussed in the meeting held on 20/10/2022. Including further sensitivity analysis for avoided emissions, considering the gradual decarbonisation of the UK Grid.
01/12/2022	CCC FDC	Relevant representation	Statutory	Relevant representations from the Host Authorities were published.

		NCC BCKLWN			
07/06/23	CCC	MS Teams meeting		Non-statutory	Meeting to agree the scope and methodology for the additional GHG sensitivity analysis in response to ISH 4 Action Point No.7 [EV-059] .
20/06/23	CCC	Email		Non-statutory	Following additional correspondence with CCC by email a methodology for the additional sensitivity analysis was agreed on 20/06/23.
03/07/23	CCC	Email			Provided a draft version of the additional GHG sensitivity analysis technical note and calculations to CCC for review prior to a meeting on 05/07/23 to discuss the findings.
05/07/23	CCC	MS Teams meeting		Non-statutory	Meeting to review draft findings of the additional GHG sensitivity analysis prior to submission for Deadline 6. Discussed summary outputs and areas of agreement and difference. Identified changes to be made following discussion.
12/07/23	CCC	Technical note		Non-statutory	Technical note for additional GHG sensitivity analysis submitted for Deadline 6 following discussions with CCC on 05/07/23.

DRAFT

Appendix J Correspondence Log: Socio-Economic, Tourism, Recreation and land use

Table J1: Summary of pre-application submission consultation and engagement with the Host Authorities – socio-economics, tourism, recreation and land use

Date	Host Authority	Form of consultation	Statutory/Non-Statutory	Summary
13/01/2020	CCC NCC	EIA scoping opinion	Statutory	EIA scoping response on socio-economics, tourism, recreation and land use.
08/2021	FDC	PEIR responses	Statutory	PEIR responses on socio-economics, tourism, recreation and land use.

Table J2: Summary of post-application submission consultation and engagement with the Host Authorities – socio-economics, tourism, recreation and land use

Date	Host Authority	Form of consultation	Statutory/Non-Statutory	Summary
26/10/2022	CCC FDC	MS Teams meeting	Non-statutory	To explain Environmental Statement (ES) Chapter 15 plus the outline Community Benefits and Employment and Skills Strategies. Applicant to answer questions from CCC and FDC ahead of their preparation of relevant representations.
04/11/2022	CCC FDC	Email	Non-statutory	Meeting minutes from 26/10/2022 were issued.
01/12/2022	CCC FDC NCC BCKLWN	Relevant representation	Statutory	Relevant representations from the Host Authorities were published. These included comments on the assessment of socio-economics, tourism, recreation and land use.

Appendix K Correspondence Log: Health

Table K1: Summary of pre-application submission consultation and engagement with the Host Authorities – health

Date	Host Authority	Form of consultation	Statutory/Non-Statutory	Summary
13/01/2020	CCC NCC BCKLWN	EIA scoping opinion	Statutory	EIA scoping responses on health.
09/2020	CCC FDC NCC BCKLWN	Email– Technical Note	Non-statutory	The Applicant issued a Technical Note setting out the proposed health assessment methodology to the local authorities for comment.
09/2020	CCC	Email	Non-statutory	Provided comments on the proposed health assessment methodology.
09/2020	BCKLWN	Email	Non-statutory	Provided comments on the proposed health assessment methodology.
04/2021	CCC FDC NCC BCKLWN	Email	Non-statutory	Applicant issued revised Technical Note.
04/2021	CCC	Email	Non-statutory	Provided comments on the updated health assessment methodology.
04/2021	NCC	Email	Non-statutory	Provided comments on the updated health assessment methodology.
08/2021	CCC BCKLWN	PEIR responses	Statutory	PEIR responses on health.

Table K2: Summary of post-application submission consultation and engagement with the Host Authorities – health

Date	Host Authority	Form of consultation	Statutory/Non-Statutory	Summary
20/10/2022	CCC BCKLWN FDC	MS Teams meeting	Non-statutory	Meeting to discuss assessment approach and presentation, data usage, the impact of the development on health and mitigation.
10/11/2022	CCC BCKLWN FDC	Email	Non-statutory	Meeting minutes from 20/11/2022 were issued.

10/11/2022	CCC BCKLWN FDC	Technical note	Non-statutory	Technical note issued by the Applicant addressing CCC's draft relevant representation comments as discussed at the meeting on 20/10/2022.
21/10/2022	CCC FDC	MS Teams meeting	Non-statutory	Meeting with CCC, FDC, the UK Health Security Agency and Office for Health Improvement and Disparities – Health to discuss initial comments on the health assessment.
07/02/2022	CCC FDC	Email	Non-statutory	Meeting minutes from 21/11/2022 were issued.
01/12/2022	CCC FDC NCC BCKLWN	Relevant representation	Statutory	Relevant representations from the Host Authorities were published. These included comments on the health assessment.

DRAFT

Appendix L Correspondence Log: Major Accidents and Disasters

Table L1: Summary of pre-application submission consultation and engagement with the Host Authorities – major accidents and disasters

Date	Host Authority	Form of consultation	Statutory/Non-Statutory	Summary
13/01/2020	CCC FDC	EIA scoping opinion	Statutory	EIA scoping responses on major accidents and disasters.
08/2021	CCC	PEIR responses	Statutory	Summary of PEIR responses on major accidents and disasters.

Table L2: Summary of post-application submission consultation and engagement with the Host Authorities – major accidents and disasters

Date	Host Authority	Form of consultation	Statutory/Non-Statutory	Summary
11/10/2022	CCC BCKLWN	MS Teams meeting	Non-statutory	Meeting to discuss the assessment including the nature of the Proposed Development and mitigation.
11/10/2022	CCC BCKLWN	Email	Non-statutory	Meeting minutes from 11/11/2022 and accompanying powerpoint presentation were issued.
01/12/2022	CCC FDC NCC BCLWN	Relevant representation	Statutory	Relevant representations from the Host Authorities were published. These included comments on the health assessment.

Appendix M Correspondence Log: Statutory Nuisance

Table M1: Summary of post-application submission consultation with the Host Authorities – Statutory Nuisance

Date	Host Authority	Form of consultation	Statutory/Non-Statutory	Summary
17/07/2023	CCC/FDC	Virtual meeting	Non-statutory	to review and agree the approach to statutory nuisance

DRAFT

Appendix N Correspondence Log: Waste Matters

Table N1: Summary of pre-application submission consultation with the Host Authorities – waste

Date	Host Authority	Form of consultation	Statutory/Non-Statutory	Summary
13/01/2020	CCC	EIA scoping opinion	Statutory	EIA scoping responses on waste matters.
TBC	CCC	Statutory consultation (draft WFAA)	Statutory	Comments on the draft WFAA.

Table N2: Summary of post-application submission consultation with the Host Authorities – waste matters

Date	Host Authority	Form of consultation	Statutory/Non-Statutory	Summary
01/12/2022	CCC FDC NCC	Relevant representation	Statutory	Relevant representations from the Host Authorities were published. These included comments on the WFAA and waste need in general.

